Regenesis and quantum traversable wormholes

Ping Gao, Harvard University

Outline

- 1. General introduction
- 2. Review of gravity side calculation of traversable wormholes
- 3. A dual CFT calculation: regenesis
- 4. Outlook

Introduction

What is a wormhole?



Defoucs Repulsive force Negative mass Along null geodesics $T_{\mu\nu}U^{\mu}U^{\nu}d\lambda < 0$ Violation of Averaged Null Energy Condition (ANEC) Foucs Attractive force Positive mass

Traversable wormhole is hard

- 1. Requires matter that violates averaged null energy condition (ANEC).
- 2. Believed **impossible classically**. e.g. ideal fluid, stress tensor is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (p+\rho)k_{\mu}k_{\nu} + pg_{\mu\nu} \implies T_{\mu\nu}U^{\mu}U^{\nu} = (p+\rho)(U\cdot k)^{2} \ge 0$$

3. Quantum effect is possible to violate ANEC, e.g. Casimir effect.

Traversable wormhole is hard

- 1. Requires matter that violates averaged null energy condition (ANEC).
- 2. Believed **impossible classically**. e.g. ideal fluid, stress tensor is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (p+\rho)k_{\mu}k_{\nu} + pg_{\mu\nu} \implies T_{\mu\nu}U^{\mu}U^{\nu} = (p+\rho)(U\cdot k)^{2} \ge 0$$

- 3. Quantum effect is possible to violate ANEC, e.g. Casimir effect.
- 4. No-go theorem: If the null geodesic is **achronal**, there are strong arguments that the ANEC is satisfied in **QFT**. For example, Generalized Second Law implies ANEC for achronal case.

achronal



chronal



Gravity picture

Eternal AdS-Schwarzschild black hole



- 1. One CFT on each side, dual to L and R wedge respectively.
- 2. Hilbert space is product space

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_L imes\mathcal{H}_R$$

3. Decoupled Hamiltonian

$$H = H_L + H_R$$

4. ER bridge, critically non-traversable wormhole due to the existence of Killing symmetry $H_R - H_L$

Eternal AdS-Schwarzschild black hole



- 1. One CFT on each side, dual to L and R wedge respectively.
- 2. Hilbert space is product space

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_L imes\mathcal{H}_R$$

3. Decoupled Hamiltonian

 $H = H_L + H_R$

4. ER bridge, critically non-traversable wormhole due to the existence of Killing symmetry $H_R - H_L$ 5. t=0 slice, thermofield double state:

 $|{\rm tfd}\rangle = \sum_{E} e^{-\beta E/2} |E,E\rangle \quad \mbox{[Maldacena 01]} \\ \mbox{[Maldacena, Susskind]} \label{eq:ffd}$

Couple the two CFT's

1. Start in the eternal black hole state in the decoupled system and turn on an interaction at some time.

$$\delta S = \int dt \ d^{d-1}x \ h(t,x) \mathcal{O}_R(t,x) \mathcal{O}_L(-t,x),$$

- 2. This only changes the configuration in the future.
- 3. Linear order in h, $\langle T_{UU} \rangle$ is proportional to h. Adjust the sign of h to make the averaged null energy negative. $\langle T_{UU} \rangle \propto h \implies \int dU \langle T_{UU} \rangle < 0$ with one sign of h
- 4. Solving linear Einstein equation and null geodesic equation near horizon V = 0, we find the red line V(U)

$$V(U) = C_0 \int_{-\infty}^{U} dU T_{UU}, \ C_0 > 0 \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = g_{0\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$



Discussions

- 1. Gluing two boundaries is crucial for 1) interaction being local; 2) chronal spacetime avoiding no-go theorem.
- 2. Width of wormhole is finite, order *h*. Send signal early.
- 3. Bulk high energy scattering backreaction. Higher order in h. It has been shown in AdS_2 , this gives restriction on total number of particles sent through.
- 4. Dual to many-body teleportation? [Maldacena, Stanford, Yang]
- 5. Verify ER=EPR. Black hole interiors.



Boundary picture

A CFT picture

In CFT side, we should expect the following phenomenon.



1. Take
$$|\Psi_{\beta}\rangle = |TFD\rangle$$

- 2. excitation of signal on one side dissipates.
- 3. turn on coupling
- 4. signal reappears from the other side (regenesis)

Add source in the past

1. Change Hamiltonian by adding the source φ^R around $t = -t_s$. f(t, x) is supported around t = 0.

$$H = H_0 + H'_S(t) = H_0 + H_S^{(1)}(t) + H_S^{(2)}(t)$$

$$H^{(1)} = - \alpha \int d^3 \vec{\pi} f(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^L(\vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(\vec{\pi}) = H^{(2)} - \int d^3 \vec{\pi} \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) = - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{\pi} \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) = - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{\pi} \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) = - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{\pi} \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) = - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{\pi} \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) = - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{\pi} \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{\pi}) \mathcal{O}^R(t, \vec{$$

$$H_{S}^{(1)} = -g \int d^{3}\vec{x} f(t, \vec{x}) \mathcal{O}^{L}(\vec{x}) \mathcal{O}^{R}(\vec{x}), \quad H_{S}^{(2)} = -\int d^{3}\vec{x} \,\varphi^{R}(t, \vec{x}) J^{R}(\vec{x})$$

- 2. Calculate expectation value $\langle J^L(t) \rangle$ at later time t > 0.
- 3. In leading order of source φ^R (linear response). For $f(t,x) = \delta(t)f(x)$.

$$\langle J^L(t) \rangle = i \int ds [e^{-igV} J^L(t) e^{igV}, J^R(s)] \varphi^R(s), \qquad V = \int dx f(x) \mathcal{O}^L(x) \mathcal{O}^R(x)$$

4. g = 0, left and right operators commute. No signal.

Scrambling time

1. For the linear response,

$$\langle J^L(t) \rangle = i \int ds [e^{-igV} J^L(t) e^{igV}, J^R(s)] \varphi^R(s), \qquad V = \int dx f(x) \mathcal{O}^L(x) \mathcal{O}^R(x)$$

if t is small, as J^L and \mathcal{O} are independent few-body operators, $[J^L(t), V] \approx 0$. No signal. Similarly if t_s is small, we can move J^R across V and get no signal.

- 2. We define the time scale that this commutator is order 1 as scrambling time t_* .
- 3. We can also define the commutator as causal propagator from right to left. This relates to a bulk interpretation.

$$\left\langle J^{L}(t)\right\rangle = \int ds G^{LR}(t,s)\varphi^{R}(s), \qquad G^{LR}(t,t') \equiv i\theta(t-t')(W(t,x') - W^{*}(t,t'))$$
$$W(t,t') = \left\langle \Psi_{\beta} | e^{-igV} J^{L}(t) e^{igV} J^{R}(t') | \Psi_{\beta} \right\rangle$$

Entanglement properties of TFD

Let us review some entanglement properties of TFD $|\Psi_{\beta}\rangle = Z_{\beta}^{-1/2} \sum_{E} e^{-\beta E/2} |E, E\rangle$ 1. For one side observer, it behaves like thermal density matrix.

$$\langle \Psi_{\beta} | \mathcal{O}_{1}^{L} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{n}^{L} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta H} \mathcal{O}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{n} \right) \equiv \langle \mathcal{O}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{n} \rangle_{\beta}$$

2. Thermal dissipation for one side correlation function with large time and space separation.

$$G^{RR}(t, \vec{x}, t', \vec{x}') \sim e^{-\frac{|t-t'|}{\tau_r}}, \qquad G^{RR}(t, \vec{x}, t', \vec{x}') \sim e^{-\frac{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}{\ell_r}}$$

3. KMS feature: all operators can be written as one side operators

$$J^{R} |\Psi_{\beta}\rangle = J^{L}(i\beta/2) |\Psi_{\beta}\rangle \implies J^{R}(t) |\Psi_{\beta}\rangle = J^{L}(-t + i\beta/2) |\Psi_{\beta}\rangle$$

4. Left-right correlation supports around $t = -t_s : \langle \Psi_\beta | J^L(t) J^R(-t_s) | \Psi_\beta \rangle \sim e^{-|t-t_s|/\tau_r}$

Late times

Let us focus on a simple case: $t, t_s \gg t_*$ and assume $f(x) = \delta(x)$. $W(t, -t_s) = \sum_n \frac{(ig)^n}{n!} \langle \Psi_\beta | e^{-igV} J^L(t) (\mathcal{O}^L(0) \mathcal{O}^R(0))^n J^R(-t_s) | \Psi_\beta \rangle$ $= \sum_n \frac{(ig)^n}{n!} \langle \Psi_\beta | e^{-igV} J^L(t) (\mathcal{O}^L(0))^n J^L(t_s + i\beta/2) (\mathcal{O}^L(i\beta/2))^n | \Psi_\beta \rangle$

Out of time ordered. Vanish for late times in chaotic system.

Late times

Let us focus on a simple case: $t, t_s \gg t_*$ and assume $f(x) = \delta(x)$. $W(t, -t_s) = \sum \frac{(ig)^n}{n!} \left\langle \Psi_\beta | e^{-igV} J^L(t) (\mathcal{O}^L(0)\mathcal{O}^R(0))^n J^R(-t_s) | \Psi_\beta \right\rangle$ $=\sum_{n}\frac{(ig)^{n}}{n!}\left\langle\Psi_{\beta}|e^{-igV}J^{L}(t)(\mathcal{O}^{L}(0))^{n}J^{L}(t_{s}+i\beta/2)(\mathcal{O}^{L}(i\beta/2))^{n}|\Psi_{\beta}\right\rangle$ Out of time ordered. Vanish for late times in chaotic system. $\sim \langle \Psi_{\beta} | e^{-igV} J^L(t) J^L(t_s + i\beta/2) | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle$ $=\sum_{n}\frac{(-ig)^{n}}{n!}\left\langle\Psi_{\beta}|(\mathcal{O}^{L}(-i\beta/2))^{n}(\mathcal{O}^{L}(0))^{n}J^{L}(t)J^{L}(t_{s}+i\beta/2)|\Psi_{\beta}\right\rangle$ time ordered. Factorize for late times in chaotic system. $\sim \langle e^{-igV} \rangle \langle \Psi_{\beta} | J^L(t) J^L(t_s + i\beta/2) | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle$

[Maldacena, Shenker, Stanford]

Late times

At late times, the structure is simple.

$$W(t, -t_s) \sim \left\langle e^{-igV} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_\beta | J^L(t) J^L(t_s + i\beta/2) | \Psi_\beta \right\rangle$$

- 1. The expectation $\langle e^{-igV} \rangle$ is generally complex number. Regenesis happens. $\langle J^L(t) \rangle = \int ds G^{LR}(t,s) \varphi^R(s), \qquad G^{LR}(t,t') \equiv i\theta(t-t')(W(t,x')-W^*(t,t'))$
- 2. *W* is proportional to $\langle \Psi_{\beta} | J^{L}(t) J^{R}(-t_{s}) | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{\beta} | J^{L}(t) J^{L}(t_{s} + i\beta/2) | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle$ only supported around $t = -t_{s}$. Regenesis at the symmetric time for a short while.
- 3. If we have large species of \mathcal{O} operators or integration over large spatial region $V = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{L}(0) \mathcal{O}_{i}^{R}(0) \text{ or } V = \frac{1}{A(\mathcal{D})} \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\vec{x} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{L}(\vec{x}) \mathcal{O}_{i}^{R}(\vec{x}) \implies \left\langle e^{-igV} \right\rangle \sim e^{-ig\langle V \rangle} + O(1/K, 1/A(\mathcal{D}))$ Pure phase

Interference interpretation

At late times, regenesis has an interference interpretation that is **not semi-classical**.

$$G^{LR}(t, -t_s) = i \left(e^{-ig\langle V \rangle} \left\langle J^L(t) J^R(-t_s) \right\rangle - h.c. \right)$$



Signal is quantum in nature

To determine a signal is classical or quantum, compare its expectation value with fluctuation in thermodynamical limit. Take spin system as an example. J measures the average spin $J = \frac{1}{N} \sum \sigma_i^Z$.

In large N limit, we expect (U_L is the unitary adding excitation by a source)

$$\tilde{J} = \left\langle \Psi_{\beta} | U_L^{\dagger} J^L U_L | \Psi_{\beta} \right\rangle \sim O(1), \ \delta \tilde{J} = \left[\left\langle \Psi_{\beta} | U_L^{\dagger} (J^L - \tilde{J})^2 U_L | \Psi_{\beta} \right\rangle \right]^{1/2} \sim N^{-1/2}$$

One the other hand, one can show for our setup in late times

$$\bar{J}_g \equiv \langle J^L(t) \rangle \approx a \langle J^L U^R \rangle + h.c.$$

$$\leq 2|a|(\langle J^L J^L \rangle)^{1/2} = 2|a|J_2$$

$$(\delta J_g)^2 \equiv \langle (J^L(t) - \bar{J}_g(t))^2 \rangle_g$$

$$= (1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} a)J_2^2 - \bar{J}_g^2 + b$$

$$U^{R} = e^{i \int ds \,\varphi^{R}(s) J^{R}(s)}, \qquad a = \left\langle e^{-igV} \right\rangle_{\beta} - 1$$
$$J_{2} = \sqrt{\left\langle J^{2} \right\rangle_{\beta}} \qquad J_{4} = \left(\left\langle J^{4} \right\rangle_{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
$$b \equiv a \left\langle \Psi_{\beta} \right| (J^{L}(t))^{2} U^{R} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle + h.c. \leq 2 |a| J_{4}^{2}$$

Signal is quantum in nature

To determine a signal is classical or quantum, compare its expectation value with fluctuation in thermodynamical limit. Take spin system as an example. J measures the average spin $J = \frac{1}{N} \sum \sigma_i^Z$.

In large N limit, we expect $(U_L \text{ is the unitary adding excitation by a source})$ $\tilde{J} = \left\langle \Psi_\beta | U_L^{\dagger} J^L U_L | \Psi_\beta \right\rangle \sim O(1), \ \delta \tilde{J} = \left[\left\langle \Psi_\beta | U_L^{\dagger} (J^L - \tilde{J})^2 U_L | \Psi_\beta \right\rangle \right]^{1/2} \sim N^{-1/2}$

One the other hand, one can show for our setup in late times

$$\bar{J}_g \equiv \langle J^L(t) \rangle \approx a \langle J^L U^R \rangle + h.c.$$

$$\leq 2|a|(\langle J^L J^L \rangle)^{1/2} = 2|a|J_2$$

$$(\delta J_g)^2 \equiv \langle (J^L(t) - \bar{J}_g(t))^2 \rangle_g$$

$$= (1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} a) J_2^2 - \bar{J}_g^2 + b$$



$$\bar{J}_g \sim \delta J_g \sim J_2 \sim N^{-1/2}$$
 NOT survive in classical limit!

J

Late time regime is universal for chaotic system. The regime $t \sim t_*$ is not universal and depends on the details of theory. Here we sketch calculation of 2D CFT in the limit $c \gg h_J \gg h_0 \sim O(1)$ limit.

Using BCH formula and KMS feature to write all right operators as left ones

$$W(t, x; -t_s, x_s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ig)^n}{L^n n!} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n dx_k\right) W_n$$
$$W_n = \left\langle [\mathcal{O}_n, [\mathcal{O}_{n-1}, \cdots [\mathcal{O}_1, J] \cdots] \ \tilde{J} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n \cdots \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \right\rangle_{\beta}$$
$$\equiv J(t, x), \qquad \mathcal{O}_i \equiv \mathcal{O}(0, x_i), \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_i \equiv \mathcal{O}(i\beta/2, x_i), \qquad \tilde{J} \equiv J(t_s + i\beta/2, x_s)$$

To calculate each W_n is to calculate a multi-pt function with two heavy J operators.

For $w_n = \langle J(t_a, x_a) J(t_b, x_b) \mathcal{O}(t_1, x_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}(t_{2n}, x_{2n}) \rangle_{\beta}$ evaluated in thermal ensemble, we first do a conformal transformation (imaginary time has period of β)

$$z = e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}(x+t)}, \qquad \bar{z} = e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}(x-t)}$$

As J is heavy, we can first do a special conformal transformation according to its weight to introduce a branch cut between two J's. Then the metric becomes curved, and we can treat w_n as 2n point function of \mathcal{O} 's in this curved background.

This special conformal transformation automatically take the leading order contribution of identity Virasoro block between J's and \mathcal{O} 's into account.

$$1 - w = \left(1 - \frac{z_{ab}z}{z_b(z_a - z)}\right)^{\alpha}, \ \alpha = \sqrt{1 - 24\frac{h_J}{c}}$$

Maps z_a to $w_a = \infty$, and z_b to $w_b = 1$ In w plane, the branch cut is from 1 to infinity.

[Fitzpatrick, Kaplan, Walters, Wang]

For example, 4 pt function, with $1-w = \left(1 - \frac{z_{ab}z}{z_b(z_a - z)}\right)^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = \sqrt{1 - 24\frac{h_J}{c}}$ $\langle J_a J_b \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle_z = \mathbb{J}_a^{-h_J} \mathbb{J}_b^{-h_J} \mathbb{J}_1^{-h_\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{J}_2^{-h_\mathcal{O}} \langle J_a J_b \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle_{a}$ $\approx \mathbb{J}_{a}^{-h_{J}} \mathbb{J}_{b}^{-h_{J}} \mathbb{J}_{1}^{-h_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathbb{J}_{2}^{-h_{\mathcal{O}}} \langle J_{a} J_{b} \rangle_{w} \langle 0_{w} | \mathcal{O}_{1} \mathcal{O}_{2} \rangle_{w}$ $= \langle J_a J_b \rangle_z \, \mathbb{J}_1^{-h_{\mathcal{O}}} \, \mathbb{J}_2^{-h_{\mathcal{O}}} \frac{1}{w_{12}^{2h_{\mathcal{O}}}}$ Using cross ratio $u: \mathcal{V}(u) \equiv \frac{\langle J_a J_b \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle_z}{\langle J_a J_b \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle} = \left(\frac{z_{12}^2}{\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}}w_{10}^2}\right)^{h_{\mathcal{O}}} = \left(\frac{\alpha^2 u^2 (1-u)^{\alpha-1}}{(1-(1-u)^{\alpha})^2}\right)^{h_{\mathcal{O}}}, \qquad u = \frac{z_{12} z_{ab}}{z_{1a} z_{ab}}$ In large t case, u approaches to zero but on first sheet for time ordered case, and on second sheet for out of time ordered case.

This illustrates the different behaviors of different time orderings.

[Roberts, Stanford]

Using same techniques, one can derive in large c limit

$$\frac{\langle J_a J_b \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_{2n} \rangle_z}{\langle J_a J_b \rangle_z} = \frac{\mathbb{J}_a^{-h_J} \mathbb{J}_b^{-h_J}}{\langle J_a J_b \rangle_z} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{J}_i^{-h_O} \right) \langle J_a J_b \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_{2n} \rangle_w$$
$$= \sum_{\{(s_{2i}, s_{2i+1})\}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\mathcal{V}(u_{s,i}) \left\langle \mathcal{O}_{s_{2i}} \mathcal{O}_{s_{2i+1}} \right\rangle_z \right], \qquad u_{s,i} \equiv \frac{z_{s_{2i}, s_{2i+1}} z_{ab}}{z_{s_{2i}, a} z_{s_{2i+1}, b}}$$

In large species or spatially integrated length cases, W becomes simple: replacing $\langle V \rangle$ by a 4-pt function. The exponent takes scattering effect into account.

$$W(t, -t_s) = \exp\left(-ig\frac{\left\langle [\mathcal{O}^L(0), J^L(t)] O^R(0) J^R(-t_s) \right\rangle}{\left\langle J^L(t) J^R(-t_s) \right\rangle}\right) \left\langle J^L(t) J^R(-t_s) \right\rangle$$

Plot

As the result is also proportional to $\langle J^L(t)J^R(-t_s)\rangle$, regenesis only happens around symmetric time $t \sim t_s$. We plot $\langle J^L(t_s)\rangle$ as a function of t_s .



Robustness

Regenesis requires two features: effective coupling and entanglement.

$$W(t, -t_s) = \exp\left(-ig \frac{\left\langle [\mathcal{O}^L(0), J^L(t)] \mathcal{O}^R(0) J^R(-t_s) \right\rangle}{\left\langle J^L(t) J^R(-t_s) \right\rangle}\right) \left\langle J^L(t) J^R(-t_s) \right\rangle$$

One can show that if we change the state from TFD to $\gamma^{L}(t_{0}) |\Psi_{\beta}\rangle$ with a perturbation at t_{0} from left, regenesis will be violated when

$$|t_0| \gg t_*$$
 (destroy the coupling between two systems)

 $|t - t_0| \gg t_*$ (destroy correlation of J^L and J^R)



Discussion

- 1. Reverse time ordering. Early signal reappears late.
- 2. Early stage is semiclassical with backreaction (4-pt function is dual to bulk scattering), late stage is quantum.
- 3. Requires careful preparation of the thermal field double state (robustness).
- 4. Late time implies a quite different picture without geometry interpretation: quantum traversable wormhole? A deeper understanding of quantum traversable wormhole is required.
- 5. This calculation does not contain bulk causal lightcone picture (semiclassical without backreaction in MSY's AdS_2 analysis), which is the case when $h_J \sim h_O \sim 1$ and $g \rightarrow \infty$ limit. This actually can be achieved by a different analysis of 2D CFT. That is the case G^{LR} has a lightcone pole when $t \sim t_s \sim t_*$. [Gao, Liu: to appear]

Conclusion and Outlook

- 1. Similar phenomena in chaotic field theory system of traversable wormholes. Signals reappear from dissipation from the entangled partner: regenesis.
- 2. Not enough for teleportation. What is the right protocol?
- 3. Old cats never die: to be or not to be?
- 4. Traversable wormhole has a feature that particles escaping from horizon. Could this help understanding Hawking radiation in an alternative way? Relation to quantum information paradox and Hayden-Preskill protocol?
- 5. Probe behind horizon. Help bulk reconstruction in quantum error correction formalism?
- 6. Experimental realization?

Thank you!

Ping Gao, Harvard University