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## Motivation

- Holographic duality beyond AdS/CFT: Newton-Carton like $A d S_{2} \times R^{1} / G C A_{2} ; T M G_{3} / G C A_{2} ; B M S_{3} / G C A_{2}$.[Bagchi, 09 '; Bagchi, 10 ']
- Galilean conformal theories can be realized as the non-relativistic limit of the $\mathrm{CFT}_{2}$ [Bagchi, Gopakumar, Mandal, Miwa 09 ']
- Are there any concrete Galilean conformal field theories other than free theories or by taking the non-relativistic limit?
- Studying the bootstrap by inversion formula may give some clues.
- Algebra structure: The algebra is the special semi-direct sum of Virasoro algebra and $U(1)$. The global algebra is not semi-simple. But it is simpler than other cases. This will promote and enlarge the discussions on bootstrap.


## Introduction

In 2D Quantum field theories, the global symmetries of translations as well as dilation of one direction are enhanced to two (minimal) sets of infinite dimensional algebras.[Hofman, Strominger, 11 ']

$$
\text { Vir } \times \text { Vir } \quad \text { Vir }- \text { Kac }- \text { Moody }
$$

What about general Lifshitz scalings?

## 2D Lifshitz Scaling

- The global symmetries we consider are

$$
\begin{gathered}
H: x \rightarrow x^{\prime}=x+\delta x \\
\bar{H}: y \rightarrow y^{\prime}=y+\delta y \\
D: x \rightarrow x^{\prime}=\lambda^{a} x, \quad y \rightarrow y^{\prime}=\lambda^{b} y
\end{gathered}
$$

- The dilation scales the two direction at the same time, but with different weights.
- We also consider the theories defined on a Newton-Cartan Geometry, so that the Galilean boost serves at least as a local symmetry.

$$
B: y \rightarrow y^{\prime}=y+v x
$$

## 2D Lifshitz Scaling

- Assumptions: locality, discrete non-negative dilation spectrum, which can be diagonizable, discrete boost spectrum.
- There exists a complete basis of local operators so that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[H, O]=\partial_{x} O, \quad[\bar{H}, O]=\partial_{y} O, \quad[B, O]=x \partial_{y} O+\xi O} \\
{[D, O]=a x \partial_{x} O+b y \partial_{y} O+\Delta_{O} O}
\end{gathered}
$$

- The currents can be shifted by adding local operators without changing the canonical commutation relations.


## 2D Lifshitz Scaling

- The $x$-component of the conserved currents of translation symmetry of $y$ direction $\bar{h}_{x}$ can be redefined so that it depends on $x$ only, so there is one set of infinite conserved charges related with the Galilean boost,

$$
P_{\epsilon}=\int \epsilon(x) \bar{h}_{x}(x) d x
$$

- Special case: $a=0$.

To make the canonical commutation relations hold, one must have

$$
\bar{h}(x)=\bar{h}(y)=0
$$

The theories are actually 1D translational-invariant theories.

## 2D Lifshitz Scaling

- $a \neq 0$ : considering the conservation law of dilation current $d$, as well as the $x$-translation current $h$, there is another set of infinite conserved charges.

$$
Q_{\epsilon}=\int\left\{a \epsilon(x) h_{x}(x, y)+b \epsilon^{\prime}(x) y \bar{h}_{x}(x)\right\} d x+\int\left\{a \epsilon(x) h_{y}(x)\right\} d y
$$

$\epsilon(x)$ is an arbitrary smooth function on $x . h_{y}(x)$ depends on $x$ only, since its boost charge vanishes.

- The conserved charges $Q_{\epsilon}$ act on the local operators as,

$$
\left[Q_{\epsilon}, O(x, y)\right]=\left(a \epsilon \partial_{x}+b \epsilon^{\prime} y \partial_{y}+a \epsilon^{\prime} \Delta+b \epsilon^{\prime} ' \xi\right) O(x, y)
$$

## 2D Lifshitz Scaling

- Algebra:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[Q_{A}, Q_{B}\right]=Q_{a A} A_{-a B^{\prime} A}+\frac{c_{1}}{12} \int\left\{a A^{\prime \prime} B^{\prime}-a B^{\prime \prime} A^{\prime}\right\} d x} \\
& {\left[Q_{A}, P_{B}\right]=P_{b A} A^{\prime} B-a B^{\prime} A+\frac{c_{2}}{12} \int\left\{b A^{\prime \prime} B^{\prime}-b B^{\prime \prime} A^{\prime}\right\} d x} \\
& {\left[P_{A}, P_{B}\right]=\frac{k}{2} \int\left\{(a-b) A^{\prime} B-(a-b) B^{\prime} A\right\} d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Plane Mode Algebra without central extension:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Q_{n}, Q_{m}\right] } & =(n-m) Q_{n+m} \\
{\left[Q_{n}, P_{m}\right] } & =(b n-a m) P_{n+m} \\
{\left[P_{n}, P_{m}\right] } & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is called the infinite extension of spin-I Galilean algebra, with $I=\frac{b}{a}$.[Henkel, $96{ }^{\prime}$ ]

## 2D Lifshitz Scaling

- The possible kinds of central extension are determined by the Jacobi identity and dependent on $I=\frac{a}{b}$. [Hosseiny, $14{ }^{\prime}$ ]
- T-extension is always allowable.

$$
\left[Q_{n}, Q_{m}\right]=(n-m) Q_{n+m}+\frac{c_{T}}{12} n\left(n^{2}-1\right) \delta_{n+m, 0}
$$

- B-extension is only allowable for $I=1$.

$$
\left[Q_{n}, P_{m}\right]=(n-m) P_{n+m}+\frac{c_{B}}{12} n\left(n^{2}-1\right) \delta_{n+m, 0}
$$

- M-extension is only allowable for half-integer I or $b=0$.

$$
\left[P_{n-l}, P_{m}\right]=M(-1)^{n} \frac{n!(2 l-n)!}{(2 l)!} \delta_{n+m-1,0} \text { or }\left[P_{n}, P_{m}\right]=M n \delta_{n+m, 0}
$$

- Warped CFT [Detournay et al., 12 '], GCA [Bagchi, 09 '], Schrodinger field theories [Henkel, 93 '] are correspond to

$$
(a, b)=(1,0),(1,1),(2,1)
$$

## Galilean Conformal Algebra (GCA)

- Consider the symmetry on the Newton-Cartan geometry,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
x \rightarrow & f(x) \\
y \rightarrow & f^{\prime}(x) y
\end{array}, \quad y \rightarrow y+g(x)\right.
$$

- The plane modes form the GCA,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right] } & =(n-m) L_{n+m}+\frac{c_{1}}{12}\left(n^{2}-1\right) n \delta_{n+m, 0} \\
{\left[L_{n}, M_{m}\right] } & =(n-m) M_{n+m}+\frac{c_{2}}{12}\left(n^{2}-1\right) n \delta_{n+m, 0} \\
{\left[M_{n}, M_{m}\right] } & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Galilean Conformal Algebra (GCA)

- Find the subgroup keeping the origin invariant. A set of local operators $O(0)$ correspond to the irreducible highest weight representation, then induce the representation of GCA, [Bagchi, Mandal 09 '; Hijano 18 ']

$$
O(x, y)=U^{-1} O(0) U, \quad U=e^{-x L_{-1}-y M_{-1}}
$$

- The primary operators transforms under the symmetry,

$$
O^{\prime}\left(f(x), f^{\prime}(x) y+g(x)\right)=f^{\prime}(x)^{-\Delta} e^{-\xi\left(\frac{g^{\prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}+y \frac{f^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right.} O(x, y)
$$

where $\Delta$ is the weight, $\xi$ is the boost charge.

## Gram Determinant and Null States

- We calculate the Gram determinant of arbitrary level N in the Galilean conformal field theories,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{det} M_{N}=(-1)^{N}\left[\prod_{a b \leq N} c(a, b)^{\sum_{i=0}^{N-a b} P(i) f(N-a b-i, a)}\right]^{2} \\
c(a, b)=\left(2 a \xi+\frac{c_{2}}{12}\left(a^{3}-a\right)\right)^{b} b!
\end{gathered}
$$

$P(N)$ is the partition function of integer $N$, and $f(N, a)$ is the partition function of $N$ while integer a does not appear in the partition.

$$
\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} f(N, a) x^{N}=\prod_{k \neq a}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^{k}}
$$

- The Gram determinants are only dependent on $\xi$ and $c_{2}$. After ruling out the null states, we find the new Gram determinants dependent on $\Delta$ and $c_{1}$.


## Vanishing curves

- To find potential minimal models, we draw the vanishing curves of the Gram determinant, where there are some null states.

$$
c(a, b)=0, \quad \Delta+\frac{c_{1}\left(a^{2}-1\right)}{24}=A(a, b)=\text { const. }
$$




- Special point: $\xi=c_{2}=0$. This is a chiral $C F T_{1}$.
- No other minimal models.


## Correlation function

- The correlation functions are determined by the invariance under the global transformation. [Bagchi, Gary, Zodinmawia, 17 '; Bagchi, 09 ']
- The local operators admit a convergent OPE.
- Data: spectrum and OPE coefficients.
- The 4-pt function is

$$
G_{4}\left(x_{i j}, y_{i j}\right)=\prod x_{i j}^{-\Delta_{i j k} / 3} e^{\frac{y_{i j}}{x_{i j}} \sum \xi_{i j k} / 3} G(x, y)
$$

where $\Delta_{i j k}=\Delta_{i}+\Delta_{j}-\Delta_{k}$ and $\xi_{i j k}$ is similar.

- $x, y$ are the global invariant cross ratio,

$$
x=\frac{x_{12} x_{34}}{x_{13} x_{24}}, \quad \frac{y}{x}=\frac{y_{12}}{x_{12}}+\frac{y_{34}}{x_{34}}-\frac{y_{13}}{x_{13}}-\frac{y_{24}}{x_{24}}
$$

## Crossing equation

- The 4-pt function is invariant under crossing symmetries.
- Under exchange of $O_{2}$ and $O_{4}, x \rightarrow 1-x, y \rightarrow-y$, the invariance of 4 -pt function gives the crossing equation.

$$
\sum_{\{\Delta, \xi\}}^{\text {schannel }} P_{\Delta, \xi} g_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y)=\sum_{\{\Delta, \xi\}}^{t \text { channel }} P_{\Delta, \xi} g_{\Delta, \xi}(1-x,-y)
$$

- The operators in Galilean conformal field theories can be organized into Primary + GCA Descendants or Quasi-primary + Global Descendants.
- $\{\Delta, \xi\}$ is primary operators, $\rightarrow$ GCA block expansion;
- $\{\Delta, \xi\}$ is quasi-primary, $\rightarrow$ global block expansion.


## Global Block Expansion

- At level N descendant of a primary operator, all the operators orthogonal to the quasi-primary operators are the global descendants, considering expressing the generators $L_{-n}, M_{-n}$ as the commutator of $L_{-1}, L_{-2}, M_{-1}, M_{-2}$, e.g.

$$
L_{-3}=\left[L_{-1}, L_{-2}\right]
$$

- However, such quasi-primary operators are generally multiplet of $M_{0}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=L_{-2}|\Delta, \xi\rangle, \quad B=M_{-2}|\Delta, \xi\rangle \\
M_{0}\binom{A}{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\xi & 2 \\
0 & \xi
\end{array}\right)\binom{A}{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Reducible but not decomposable.
- Formally, $A=2 \partial_{\xi} B$.


## Global Block Expansion

- The quasi-primary operators have similar behaviour under the action of the Casmir operators.

$$
C_{2}=M_{i} M^{i}=M_{0}^{2}-M_{-1} M_{1}, \quad C_{4}=\left(L_{i} M^{i}\right)^{2}
$$

- One of the multiplet of rank- $r$ is the eigenvector of the Casmir operators.

$$
C_{2} O=\lambda_{2}=\xi^{2} O, \quad C_{4} O=\lambda_{4}=4 \xi^{2}(\Delta-1)^{2} O
$$

- For each one of the multiplet,

$$
\left(C_{2}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{\alpha} O=0, \quad\left(C_{4}-\lambda_{4}\right)^{\alpha} O=0, \quad \alpha=1, \cdots, r
$$

## Global Block Expansion

- Inserting a complete set of basis in the four-point functions, one gets the block expansion, which satisfy

$$
\left(C_{2}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{r} G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(r)}=0, \quad\left(C_{4}-\lambda_{4}\right)^{r} G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(r)}=0
$$

- The general solutions are

$$
G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(r)}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{r-1} A_{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(0)}
$$

- The boundary conditions are fixed in the $x \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow 0$ OPE limit, as well as the Gram matrices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
O_{1} O_{2} & =\sum_{\Delta, \xi} C_{\Delta, \xi} x^{-\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{2}+\Delta} \exp \left\{\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}-\xi\right) \frac{y}{x}\right\} \\
& \times \sum_{\{p, q\}, a=0}^{p+q} \beta_{\{p, q\}, a} x^{p+q}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{a} O_{\{p, q\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Global Block Expansion

- For $\xi=0$ cases, $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ Global Block, since the $M_{-n}|\Delta, 0\rangle$ are null states.
- The global invariant factor of the 4-pt function admits the global block expansion, for $0<x<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}} & =\left.\sum_{\{\Delta\}}\right|_{\xi=0} P_{\Delta, 0} \Delta^{\Delta}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\Delta, \Delta, 2 \Delta, x) \\
& +\sum_{\{\Delta, \xi, \alpha\}} P_{\Delta, \xi, \alpha} P_{\Delta, \xi, \alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(0)}$ is the eigenfunction of $C_{2}, C_{4}$ [Bagchi, Gary, Zodinmawia 16 ';17 '].

$$
G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(0)}=2^{2 \Delta-2} \frac{x^{\Delta}(1+\sqrt{1-x})^{2-2 \Delta}}{\sqrt{1-x^{\frac{1}{2}}}} e^{\frac{-\xi y}{x \sqrt{1-x}}}
$$

## Why Inversion Formula?

- It is difficult to consider the bootstrap directly from the GCA crossing equation.
- The Lorentz inversion formula simplifies and unifies many studies of the analytic bootstrap.[Caron-Huot, 17 '; Simmons-Duffin, 18 ']
- The LIF gives new conceptual ideas: spin analyticity; representation theory of the Lorentz conformal group.
- GCA is intriguing: NOT semi-simple. "Harmonic analysis"?


## What is Inversion Formula?

- Abstract information of OPE coefficient from the 4-pt function.

$$
G_{4} \rightarrow P_{\Delta, \xi} ?
$$

- A toy model: a function expanded in terms of "blocks" in the region around the origin.[Caron-Huot, 17 ']

$$
f(x)=\sum_{J=0}^{\infty} P_{J} X^{J}
$$

$$
f(x): 4 p t \text { Function, } \quad P_{J}: \text { Coefficient }, \quad x^{J}: \text { Block }
$$

- $f(x)$ has branch cuts $(-\infty,-1] \cup[1, \infty)$, and grows no faster than exponential behaviour.


## A Toy Model

- Inverse the 4-pt function to get the OPE coefficient.

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{J}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|x|=c<1} x^{-J-1} f(x) d x \quad \text { Euclidean } \\
P_{J}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-J-1}\left(\operatorname{Disc}[f(x])+(-1)^{J} \operatorname{Disc}[f(-x))\right] d x \text { Lorentzian }
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure: Contour deformation to get the Lorentzian inversion formula, from [Caron-Huot, 17 ']

## A Toy Model

- The Euclidean inversion formula is valid only for integer J.
- Having assumed $|f(x) / x|$ is bounded above (Regge limit), the Lorentz inversion formula is valid for $J>1$.
- A rigid structure between the coefficients to make the Regge behaviour under control.

Finite $=$ Finite + Infinite + Infinite $+\cdots, \quad$ Regge limit

## CFT Inversion formula

- The 4-pt function can be expressed by the integral of principal series rep.[Caron-Huot, 17 ']

$$
G_{4}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \int_{d / 2-i \infty}^{d / 2+i \infty} P(\Delta, J) \Psi_{\Delta, J} d \Delta
$$

- The Euclidean inversion formula is

$$
P(\Delta, J)=\left(G_{4}, \Psi_{d-\Delta, J}\right), \quad J \text { is a integer. }
$$

- The Lorentz inversion formula is

$$
P(\Delta, J) \sim \int d^{2} z \mu(z, \bar{z}) G_{J+d-1, \Delta-d+1} d \operatorname{Disc}\left[G_{4}\right]
$$

- Analyticity in spin.


## CFT Bootstrap

- Expand the LIF at large J on the both side, since it is analytic.

$$
G_{J+d-1, \Delta-d+1} \sim z^{\frac{J-\Delta}{2}} \bar{z}^{\frac{J+\Delta}{2}}
$$

- The dominant part in the integral is $z \rightarrow 0, \bar{z} \rightarrow 1$. The four-point function admits the $t$ channel expansion, where the dominant contribution is from identity operator $\left(\frac{z \bar{z}}{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})}\right)^{\Delta_{O}}$.

$$
P(\Delta, J)=\frac{\#}{\Delta-J-2 \Delta_{O}}+\frac{\#}{\Delta-J-2 \Delta_{O}-2}+\cdots+O\left(\frac{1}{J}\right)
$$

- Recover the block expansion by contour deformation and picking the poles.

$$
P(\Delta, J) \sim \sum_{\left\{\Delta_{0}\right\}} \frac{P_{\Delta, J}}{\Delta-\Delta_{0}}
$$

## Key Points on Inversion Formula

- Find the measure to make the Casmir operator Hermitian, so that the eigenfunctions are a complete set of basis. They have different boundary conditions from the blocks.
- The integral over principal series rep. is related to the block expansion by contour deformation (on the $\Delta$ plane).
- The Regge bound make it possible to deform the contour on the cross ratio plane, and drop the arc at infinity, giving a analytic structure of the inversion function.


## Key Points on Inversion Formula

- In the Regge limit, each individual block grows like $e^{(J-1) t}$. To get the bounded Regge behaviour, Sommerfeld-Watson transform is required: replacing the sum over J with an integral in the imaginary direction.

$$
f(x)=\oint \frac{P_{J}}{1-e^{-2 \pi i J}} x^{J} d J
$$

- In Euclidean signature, OPE captures the singularities. In Lorentzian signature, there are also Regge poles.
- $S O(d+1,1)$ and $S O(d, 2)$ have different principal reps.[Kravchuk, Simmons-Duffin '18]


## GCA Inversion Formula

- The block expansion of 4-point functions in s-channel is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}=\sum_{\{\Delta\}} \mid \xi=0 \\
&+\sum_{\{\Delta, \xi, \alpha\}} P_{\Delta, \xi, \alpha} P_{\Delta, \xi, \alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} F_{1}(\Delta, \Delta, 2 \Delta, x) \\
& G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- There are two indices to label the partial waves, so that two independent Casmir operators are required. The Casmir operators act on the blocks with non-vanishing $\xi$, as

$$
\left(C_{2}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{r} G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(r)}=0, \quad\left(C_{4}-\lambda_{4}\right)^{r} G_{\Delta, \xi}^{(r)}=0
$$

- However, these Casmir operators cannot select out the $S L(2, R)$ global blocks. In $\xi=0$ sector, the Casmir is

$$
\tilde{C}=L_{i} L^{i}
$$

## GCA Casmir

- The Casmir operators act as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2} f_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y)=x^{2}(1-x) \partial_{y}^{2} f_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y)=\xi^{2} f_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y) \\
& C_{4} f_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y)=A^{2} f_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y)=\xi^{2}(\Delta-1)^{2} f_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A=\frac{1}{2}\left((3 x-2) x y \partial_{y}^{2}+2 x^{2}(x-1) \partial_{x} \partial_{y}+2 x^{2} \partial_{x}\right)
$$

- These Casmir operators can also be obtained by taking the non-relativistic limit of $\mathrm{CFT}_{2}$.


## Eigenfunction of the Casmir Operators

- Consider the eigenfunctions of $C_{2}$ first, and then expand the degenerate eigenfunctions by $C_{4}$.

$$
f(x, y)=g(x) e^{\frac{\xi y}{x \sqrt{1-x}}}
$$

- A toy model to show how to deal with the $\partial_{\xi}$ blocks.

$$
\sum_{\xi, \alpha} P_{\xi, \alpha} z^{\alpha} e^{\xi z}=\int_{\#-i \infty}^{\#+i \infty} \sum_{\left\{\xi_{0}, \alpha\right\}} \frac{P_{\xi_{0}, \alpha} \Gamma[\alpha+1]}{\left(\xi-\xi_{0}\right)^{\alpha+1}} e^{\xi z} d \xi, \quad z>0
$$

- Inverse the coefficient by Laplace transformation, which is linear. Close the contour properly to the left side.


## Eigenfunction of the Casmir Operators

- For $x>1, \xi \rightarrow i \xi . z=\frac{y}{\sqrt{|1-x| x}}$.
- Laplace transformation $\rightarrow$ Bilateral Laplace transformation. Close the contour separately for each term properly.

$$
\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}=\int Z_{\xi}(x) e^{\frac{\xi y}{x \sqrt{|1-x|}}}+X_{\xi}(x) e^{\frac{-\xi y}{x \sqrt{|1-x|}}} d \xi
$$

- It "defines" $Z, X$ point-wise in $x$.
- For $\xi=0$ sector, expand it in terms of the eigenfunctions of $\tilde{C}$. [Maldacene, Stanford 16 ';Murugan, Stanford, Witten 17 ']


## Eigenfunction of the Casmir Operators

- Expand the boxed terms in terms of the eigenfunctions of $C_{4}=A^{2}$.

$$
A Z_{\xi}(x) e^{\frac{\xi y}{x \sqrt{|1-x|}}}=\xi e^{\frac{\xi y}{x \sqrt{|1-x|}}} D Z_{\xi}(x)
$$

where

$$
D=\frac{2 x(x-1) \partial_{x}+(x-2)}{\sqrt{|1-x|}}
$$

- It is impossible to find a measure to make $C_{2}$ and $A$ Hermitian simultaneously.
- Instead, it becomes a Strum-Liouville problem, considering $A^{2}$, with the measure (in the integral of $x$ ),

$$
\tilde{\mu}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{|1-x|}}{x^{3}}
$$

- The boundary conditions say that

$$
\left.f^{\prime}(x)\right|_{x=2}=0, \quad f \rightarrow 0 \text { faster than } x
$$

## Eigenfunction of the Casmir Operators

- There are matching conditions to cancel the potential "boundary" terms in the integral, at $x=1$. The solution on $[0,1]$ can be obtained by such matching conditions.
- The general solutions to $A^{2}$ are,

$$
a_{1} A_{\Delta, \xi}+a_{2} A_{2-\Delta,-\xi}+a_{3} A_{\Delta,-\xi}+a_{4} A_{2-\Delta, \xi}
$$

where

$$
A_{\Delta, \xi}=\frac{x^{\Delta}(1-\sqrt{1-x})^{2-2 \Delta}}{\sqrt{1-x}} e^{\frac{\xi y}{x \sqrt{1-x \mid}}}
$$

- It is still a solution under the symmetry,

$$
\Delta \leftrightarrow 2-\Delta, \quad \xi \leftrightarrow-\xi
$$

## Symmetry of the 4-pt Function

- The 4-pt function is covariant while $\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}$ is invariant under the global Galilean conformal transformation.
- Invariant under the exchange of $(1,2) \leftrightarrow(3,4)$ (Shadow symmetry).

$$
\Delta, \xi \leftrightarrow 2-\Delta,-\xi
$$

- Invariant under the exchange of $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ or $3 \leftrightarrow 4$.

$$
x \rightarrow \frac{x}{x-1}, \quad y \rightarrow-\frac{1}{(x-1)^{2}} y
$$

- The region required is a strip $x \in[0,2], y \in(-\infty, \infty)$.



## Boundary conditions

- Boundary conditions:

$$
f(x=2, y)=f(x=2,-y)
$$

- This is valid for arbitrary $\Delta, \xi$, which gives the constraints on the coefficients

$$
a_{1}=a_{3}, \quad a_{2}=a_{4}
$$

- $y=0, x=2$ is a fixed point, which is consistent with the boundary conditions to make $C_{4}$ Hermitian.

$$
\partial_{x} f(x=2, y=0)=0
$$

- This gives the solution on $x \in[1, \infty)$.


## Eigenfunctions

- To make the eigenvalues real,

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l r } 
{ \xi } & { = } & { \text { ir } } \\
{ \Delta } & { = } & { 1 + i s }
\end{array} \text { or } \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\xi & =i r \\
\Delta & =s
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

where $r$ and $s$ are real.

- The solution on $x \in[1, \infty)$ is

$$
e^{2 i \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}} \operatorname{ArcTan} \sqrt{x-1}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x-1}}+b e^{-2 i \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}} \operatorname{ArcTan} \sqrt{x-1}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x-1}}
$$

with

$$
b=e^{i \pi \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}}}
$$

## Eigenfunctions

- Consider the $e^{\frac{\xi y}{\sqrt{1-x \mid x}}}$ part, one gets $a_{1}=a_{3}, a_{2}=a_{4}$ for $x \in[0,1]$. We consider the $y=0$ slice in the following discussion for simplicity.
- From the matching condition at $x=1$,

$$
f\left(x \rightarrow 1^{+}\right)=A+\frac{B}{\sqrt{x-1}}, \quad f\left(x \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)=A+\frac{B}{\sqrt{1-x}}
$$

One gets the solution on $x \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}\left(a_{1}\left(A_{\Delta, \xi}+A_{\Delta,-\xi}\right)+a_{2}\left(A_{2-\Delta,-\xi}+A_{2-\Delta, \xi}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\frac{\Delta+e^{i \pi \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}}}\left(\Delta-i \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}}-1\right)+i \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}}-1}{2(\Delta-1)} \\
& a_{2}=\frac{\Delta+e^{i \pi \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}}}\left(\Delta+i \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}}-1\right)-i \sqrt{(\Delta-1)^{2}}-1}{2(\Delta-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Eigenfunctions

- Consider the $x \rightarrow 0$ behaviour,

$$
A_{\Delta, \xi} \sim x^{2-\Delta}
$$

- The fall off condition at $x=0$ says,

$$
f(x) \sim x^{a}, \quad 2 \operatorname{Re} a-3 \geq-1
$$

- $\Delta=1+i$ is marginally allowable.
- $a_{1}, a_{2}$ cannot vanish for certain real $\Delta$, so there is no real $\Delta \neq 1$ eigenfunctions.


## Eigenfunctions

- The eigenfunctions are piece-wise functions with the symmetry $f(x, y)=f\left(\frac{x}{x-1},-\frac{1}{(x-1)^{2}} y\right)$.


Figure: $s=\frac{3}{2}$, at $y=0$ slice

- Oscillatory for $x<1$, monotonic for $x>1$ at $y=0$ slice (real).
- At fixed $x$ slice, they are periodic in $y$ (with non-vanishing imaginary part).


## Orthogonality and Completeness

- The total measure is,

$$
\mu(x, y)=\frac{1}{x \sqrt{|1-x|}} \frac{\sqrt{|1-x|}}{x^{3}}=\frac{1}{x^{4}}
$$

- Consider $\Delta=1+$ is, with positive $s$. The singular part of the inner product which contributes to the delta function is the integral over the small $x$ region.

$$
\left(\psi_{\Delta, \xi}, \psi_{\Delta}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(1+e^{-2 \pi s}\right) 2 \pi \delta\left(s-s^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)
$$

- The completeness relation is than,(the $\xi=0$ sector should be replaced)

$$
\int \psi_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y) \psi_{\Delta, \xi}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) d \Delta d \xi=N x^{4} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \Delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)
$$

- One can check the completeness relation by using the identity,

$$
\psi_{\Delta, \xi}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\int \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) \psi_{\Delta, \xi}(x, y) d x d y
$$

## Partial Wave Expansion

- The 4-pt function admits a partial wave expansion, with $\Delta=1+i s, \quad \xi=i r$

$$
\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int P(\Delta, \xi) \psi_{\Delta, \xi} d \Delta d \xi
$$

- The "Euclidean-like" inversion formula reads,

$$
P(\Delta, \xi)=\left(\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}, \psi_{\Delta, \xi}\right)
$$

$$
P(\Delta, \xi=0)=\left(\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}, \tilde{\psi}_{\Delta, \xi=0}\right)^{\prime}
$$

- The physical information can be obtained by,

$$
P_{\Delta_{0}, \xi_{0}, \alpha}=\left.\frac{1}{\Gamma[\alpha+1]} \operatorname{Res}\right|_{\Delta=\Delta_{0}, \xi=\xi_{0}}\left[\left(\xi-\xi_{0}\right)^{\alpha} P(\Delta, \xi)\right]
$$

## Lorentzian Inversion formula

There are two main purposes of studying the LIF.

- To study the Regge limit, the analytic structure make it possible to re-sum the divergent conformal blocks to something making sense by Sommerfeld-Watson trick.
- Study the analytic bootstrap.

The two purposes are unified in one Lorentzian inversion formula in higher dimensional CFTs. It is not the case in $C F T_{1}$ [Simmons-Duffin, Stanford, Witten 17 '] and Galilean conformal field theories.

## Lorentzian Inversion formula

How to re-sum the blocks to proper Regge limit?

- The contributions to the 4-pt function from the partial wave expansion come from both the continues spectrum and discrete spectrum. The continues part is still analytic in $\Delta, \xi$. Summing the discrete part (only appear in the eigenfunctions of $S I(2, R)$ Casmir) directly leads to divergence.[Simmons-Duffin, Stanford, Witten 17 ']

$$
I_{n}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} g(x) \psi_{n}^{\prime}(x)
$$

- The behaviour at infinity is controlled by Regge limit. The "Lorentzian-like" inversion formula can be obtained by contour deformation and analytic continuation of the blocks.

$$
\tilde{I}_{\Delta, J}=\frac{\Gamma(n)^{2}}{\Gamma(2 n)}\left((-)^{J} \int_{\infty}^{0} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} \hat{k}_{2 \Delta}(x) d \operatorname{Disc}[g]+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} k_{2 \Delta}(x) d \operatorname{Disc}[g(x)]\right)
$$

- $I_{n}=\tilde{I}_{n}$ only for integer $n . \tilde{I}$ is used in the Sommerfeld-Watson trick to give the correct Regge behaviour.


## Lorentzian Inversion formula

- The Euclidean and Lorentz conformal group are different. For $C F T_{1}$ and GCA, there is no such difference.
- The above proposal of the discrete part cannot give any information of the "physical spectrum".

$$
I_{\Delta} \neq \tilde{I}_{\Delta}
$$

- It is crucial to express the $P(\Delta, \xi)$ as the integral transformation of the $d \operatorname{Disc}\left[\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}\right]$.
- The integral kernel is evaluated by deforming the contour of the "Euclidean-like" inversion formula.

$$
P(\Delta, \xi)=\int K(x, y) d \operatorname{Disc}\left[\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}\right] \mu(x) d x d y
$$

Loretzian-like Inversion Formula

## Lorentzian Inversion formula

- The t-channel information is contained in the $d \operatorname{Disc}\left[\frac{G_{4}}{G_{2} G_{2}}\right]$, while the s-channel information is contained in $P(\Delta, \xi)$. The "Lorentzian-like" inversion formula itself is the crossing equation!
- The $\xi=0$ sector ( $C F T_{1}$ case, [Mazac $\left.18{ }^{\prime}\right]$ ) and $\xi \neq 0$ sector should be dealt with separately.
- The kernel is not the eigenfunctions of the s-channel Casmir operators, which is dependent on the $\Delta_{O}, \xi_{O}$ of the external operators. There is no closed form of the kernel for general $\Delta_{O}, \xi_{O}$.
- The kernel $K(x, y)$ satisfies a list of functional equations to make the two ways calculating the same coefficients. There is no closed form for such kernel.
- We are studying the large $\Delta$ limit of such kernel to do the bootstrap.


## Conclusion and Discussion

- Considering the theories with Lifshitz symmetry, the global symmetries are enhanced to infinite many local symmetries under certain assumptions.
- One of them is Galilean conformal field theories. We calculate its Kac determinant, and find there is no nontrivial minimal models.
- We examine the block expansion of the 4-pt functions in GCA, and expand it in terms of partial waves, give a Eucliden-like inversion formula.
- Hopefully, in the large $\Delta$ limit, one may get universal information of the coefficient.

Thanks for Your Attention!

## 2D Lifshitz Scaling

- The commutation relations are as follows,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[H, \bar{H}]=0,[D, H]=-a H,[D, \bar{H}]=-b \bar{H}} \\
{[B, H]=-\bar{H},[B, \bar{H}]=0,[B, D]=(b-a) B}
\end{gathered}
$$

- The charges and conservation laws are[Hofman, Rollier, 14 '],

$$
Q=\int \star J, \quad \star=H_{\mu \nu}, \quad \nabla_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0
$$

where $H_{\mu \nu}$ serves as the volume in the Newton-Cartan Geometry.

## Geometry

- Such theories should be defined on the Newton-Carton Geometry, e.g. WCFT.[Hofman, Rollier, 14 ']
- A contravariant tensor $\gamma=\gamma^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \otimes \partial_{\nu}$, a orthogonal time 1 -form $\tau=\tau_{\mu} d x^{\mu}$. The metric $\gamma$ has one positive eigenvalue and one vanishing eigenvalue. Non-dynamical satial metric on slices orthogonal to $\tau$.
- A fibre bundle with base manifold (time), and spatial slices as fibres.
- Dynamical connection, compatible with $\gamma, \tau$, and also a scaling structure,

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right)
$$

- For $a=b$, it is the same as $R_{\lambda \sigma}^{\mu \nu}=R_{\sigma \lambda}^{\nu \mu}$.[Bagchi, Gopakumar, $\left.14{ }^{\prime}\right]$


## GCA Casmir from Taking Limit

- The quadratic and quartic Casmir operators in $\mathrm{CFT}_{2}$ act as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C 2 f_{\Delta, J}(z, \bar{z})=\left(D_{z}+D_{\bar{z}}\right) f_{\Delta, J}(z, \bar{z})=\frac{1}{2}\left[J^{2}+\Delta(\Delta-2)\right] f_{\Delta, J}(z, \bar{z}) \\
& \qquad C 4 f_{\Delta, J}(z, \bar{z})=\left(D_{z}-D_{\bar{z}}\right)^{2} f_{\Delta, J}(z, \bar{z})=J^{2}(\Delta-1)^{2} f_{\Delta, J}(z, \bar{z}) \\
& \text { where }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
D_{z}=z^{2}(1-z) \partial_{z}^{2}-z^{2} \partial_{z}, \quad D_{\bar{z}}=\bar{z}^{2}(1-\bar{z}) \partial_{\bar{z}}^{2}-\bar{z}^{2} \partial_{\bar{z}}
$$

- After taking the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ non-relativistic limit, one gets the eigen equation in the Galilean conformal theory.

