



A nAttractor for AdS_2 Quantum Gravity

Finn Larsen

University of Michigan and Leinweber Center for Theoretical Physics

Black Holes and Holography, TSIMF @ Sanya , January 9, 2019 .

nAdS₂/nCFT₁ Holography.

- Recently developed version AdS₂/CFT₁ holography: duality between *nearly* AdS₂ geometry and *nearly* CFT₁.
- *Conformal symmetry is broken* spontaneously and explicitly.
- Interesting nCFT₁'s realize the symmetry breaking pattern:
SYK,.....

This Talk: The Scales

- $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$ holography is *not scale invariant*.
- So: what physical scale(s) appear does the theory depend on?
- Inspiration: the *extremal* AdS_2 geometry (including its matter) is determined by an *Attractor Mechanism*.
- Result: the *near* extremal AdS_2 geometry (and matter supporting it) is determined by a *nAttractor Mechanism*.

FL 1806.06330 [hep-th]. Research supported by US DoE.

A Canonical Setting

- 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ ungauged SUGRA with n_V vector multiplets.

- The black hole parameters are:

Mass M

Charges (p^I, q_I) , $I = 0, \dots, n_V$

Asymptotic value of complex scalars z_∞^i , $i = 1, \dots, n_V$.

- For **extreme** black holes the mass M is not independent: a function of the other parameters.
- Extremal black holes in this setting have been studied extensively.

The Extremal Attractor Mechanism

- A radial **flow**: the scalars z^i **evolve** from infinity to the horizon.
- The **attractor mechanism**: scalar fields **at the horizon** are independent of their “initial” value at infinity.
- So the horizon theory is **universal**: independent of moduli, including the coupling constants,....
- The attractor mechanism **determines the attractor values** for the scalars (as function of black hole charges).
- There is **no need to analyze the black hole solutions**.

Preview: nAttractor Mechanism

- We want to determine the scales characterizing the $n\text{AdS}_2$ region.
- They will depend on the black hole charges (p^I, q_I) and the moduli z_∞^i .
- A ***nAttractor mechanism***: these scales are computed by a generalization of the ***extremal*** attractor mechanism
- There is ***no need to analyze non extremal black hole solutions***.

A Physical Scale: the Specific Heat

- The *extremal* black hole entropy is a ground state entropy

$$S_0 = \frac{A}{4G_4} = \frac{1}{4G_2}$$

There is *no scale, just a large dimensionless number*.

- The *nearly* extreme black hole entropy:

$$S = S_0 + \frac{1}{2}\pi LT$$

The length L introduces a scale.

- It is essentially the *specific heat* $C = T\partial_T S$.

Near Extreme Black Holes

The “near” of $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$ appears in *two ways*:

1. Black holes only *nearly* extremal. So scalars at the horizon depart from their extremal attractor value.
2. Also: $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$ considers the entire *near horizon region*. So the scalars are *not constant*.

We consider these two challenges in turn.

Non-Extreme Black Holes

- General ***non-extreme*** black holes depends on a single ***radial function*** $R(r)$:

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{r^2 - r_0^2}{R^2(r)} dt^2 + \frac{R^2(r)}{r^2 - r_0^2} dr^2 + R^2(r) d\Omega_2^2$$

- There is an event horizon at $r = r_0$.
- Entropy and temperature are encoded in the radial function:

$$S = \frac{\pi R^2(r_0)}{G_4}.$$

$$T = \frac{r_0}{2\pi R^2(r_0)}.$$

- The extremal limit is $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ ***with charges and moduli fixed***.

Near-Extreme Black Holes

- The near extreme entropy **depends on M** :

$$\Delta S = \frac{\partial S}{\partial M} \Delta M$$

Estimates: $\Delta S \sim T$ but $\partial_M S \sim T^{-1}$ (1st law) so $\Delta M \sim T^2$.

- The radial function $R(r)$ depends on r and **also on M** .

$$\Delta S = \frac{\pi}{G_4} \left(\frac{\partial R^2}{\partial M} \Delta M + \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial r} \Delta r \right)$$

Estimates: $\Delta S \sim T$ from $\Delta r \sim r_0 \sim T$. $\partial_M R^2$ is **subleading**.

- ΔS follows from R^2 **at extremality** but at **a new position** $r = r_0$.
- This is a **major simplification**.

The Symmetry Breaking Scale

- The symmetry breaking scale only depends on *moving away from the horizon* (but not on the solution being non-extreme):

$$L = \frac{2 \Delta S}{\pi T} = \frac{2\pi}{G_4} \left. \frac{\partial R^4}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{hor}} .$$

- Moreover, the dependence is extremely simple: just a radial derivative.

The Extremal Attractor

- For fixed charges, the $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ -type terms in the Lagrangian subject the scalars z^i to an **effective potential** V .
- The scalars z^i are **constant** on the $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ attractor geometry.
- So the **effective potential V is extremized**: $\partial_i V = 0$.
- The extremum value of the potential gives: $R^2(0) = G_4 V_{\text{ext}}$.
- This procedure is identical to the **entropy function formalism**.

Results of Extremization

- Notation for the resulting radial function on $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2$:

$$R^4(0) = I_4(P^I, Q_I)$$

- The **generating** function I_4 is **quartic** in the charges.
- Example ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUGRA): $I_4(p^I, q_I) = \vec{p}^2 \vec{q}^2 - (\vec{p}\vec{q})^2$.
- The **scalar** values at the horizon are **also encoded in I_4** :

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{\text{hor}}^I \\ F_I^{\text{hor}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^I \\ q_I \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{q_I} \\ \partial_{p^I} \end{pmatrix} I_4^{1/2}(p^I, q_I)$$

Symplectic section (X^I, F_I) represents scalars **projectively**:
 $z^i = X^i / X^0$.

Moving Away from the Horizon

- The radial function **at the horizon** depends only on charges.
- It depends on **scalars at infinity** away from the horizon.
- Parametrize scalars at infinity through “charges” p_∞^I, q_I^∞ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_\infty^I \\ F_I^\infty \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_\infty^I \\ q_I^\infty \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{q_I^\infty} \\ \partial_{p_\infty^I} \end{pmatrix} I_4^{1/2}(p_\infty^I, q_I^\infty)$$

- So: parametrize scalars **at infinity** using the charge/scalar relation determined **at the horizon**.
- The **full attractor flow** has the radial function

$$R^4(r) = I_4(P^I + r p_\infty^I, Q_I + r q_I^\infty)$$

The Symmetry Breaking Scale

- The **radial** derivative of R^4 gives the symmetry breaking scale.
- It is equivalent to **a derivative in charge space**

$$L = \frac{2\pi}{G_4} \left(p_\infty^I \frac{\partial}{\partial P^I} + q_I^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_I} \right) I_4(P^I, Q_I) .$$

- So the **nAttractor behavior** follows from **attractor geometry**.
- I_4 is quartic in the charges; L is **cubic in charges** and linear in moduli.
- The derivative replaces a charge by its corresponding modulus.

Explicit Example: The STU Model

- The “four-charge” solution has one electric charge q_0 and three magnetic ones p^1, p^2, p^3 .

- The effective potential

$$V = \frac{1}{8y^1y^2y^3} \left(q_0^2 + (p^1y^2y^3)^2 + (p^2y^3y^1)^2 + (p^3y^1y^2)^2 \right) .$$

The y^i (with $i = 1, 2, 3$) are scalar fields.

- The **extremal** attractor gives scalar fields y^i **at the horizon** as

$$y_{\text{hor}}^i = \sqrt{\frac{q_0}{p^1p^2p^3}} p^i$$

independently of their asymptotic values.

- The extremal entropy

$$S = 4\pi V_{\text{hor}} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 p^1 p^2 p^3}$$

A nAttractor Mechanism

- Present moduli **at infinity** as “charges” by inverting

$$y_{\infty}^i = \sqrt{\frac{q_0^{\infty}}{p_{\infty}^1 p_{\infty}^2 p_{\infty}^3}} p_{\infty}^i$$

- The **symmetry breaking scale**/specific heat:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{2\pi}{G_4} \left(p_{\infty}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial P^i} + q_0^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_0} \right) I_4 \\ &= 2\pi q_0 p^1 p^2 p^3 R_{11} \left(\frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{1}{p^1 y_{\infty}^2 y_{\infty}^3} + \frac{1}{p^2 y_{\infty}^3 y_{\infty}^1} + \frac{1}{p^3 y_{\infty}^1 y_{\infty}^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- It **depends on moduli at infinity**: $R_{11}, y_{\infty}^{1,2,3}$.
- It depends on **non-trivial combinations of charges**.

The Long String Scale

- In the *dilute gas regime* the electric charge is *small* compared to magnetic background charges.
- Then the symmetry breaking scale is

$$L = 2\pi p^1 p^2 p^3 R_{11}$$

- This is the *long string scale* known from microscopic black hole models.
- Physics: low energy excitations “live” on a circle of length L , a multi-wound version of the naïve geometrical length $2\pi R_{11}$.

A Flow of Many Fields

- “The” breaking scale is (essentially) the radial derivative of R^2 .
- Other scalar fields **approach** their fixed value z_{hor}^i at the horizon.
- Their radial derivatives from differentiation in charge space:

$$\frac{dz^i}{dr} = \left(p_{\infty}^I \frac{\partial}{\partial P^I} + q_I^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_I} \right) z_{\text{hor}}^i \equiv \frac{L_i}{R^2} z_{\text{hor}}^i$$

- In general **each scalar field introduces a scale**.
- STU example: the four apparent terms are independent scales.

Effective Boundary Theory

- So far: symmetry breaking scales of the geometry and thermodynamics *from the UV theory*.
- Now: the symmetry breaking scale in the *effective IR action*:

$$-\frac{1}{4}L \int_{\partial D} du \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)' \right)$$

- How does this action *emerge from the UV theory*?

2D SUGRA Action

- Start from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA in 4D
- Dimensional reduction on $\mathcal{M}_2 \times S^2$ gives

$$4G_4\mathcal{L}_2 = R^2\mathcal{R}^{(2)} + 2 + 2(\nabla R)^2 - 2R^2 g_{i\bar{j}} \nabla_\mu z^i \nabla^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{j}} - \frac{2V}{R^2}$$

- The effective potential V depends on electric and magnetic charges (p^I, q_I) and moduli z^i .
- $\mathcal{M}_2 = \text{AdS}_2$ with $R^2 = V = \ell_2^2$ is solution for constant scalars extremizing the potential $\partial_i V = 0$.
- We want to compute the ***on-shell action of our solution***.

Black Hole Geometry

- The **complete (Euclidean) geometry** with $r^2 = r_0^2 \cosh \frac{\rho}{\ell_2}$:

$$ds^2 = \frac{\ell_2^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\rho}{\ell_2}}{R^2} d\tau^2 + \frac{R^2}{\ell_2^2} d\rho^2 + R^2 d\Omega_2^2 .$$

- For R^2 constant with $R = \ell_2$: geometry is AdS_2 with radius ℓ_2 .
- Here: R^2 given by complete solution, **including flow away from the horizon**.
- Near extreme black holes: there is a near horizon region where:

$$R^2 \sim R_0^2 + (r - r_0) \partial_r R^2 + \dots$$

with R^2 **evaluated for the extreme geometry**.

The Boundary

- Introduce a **boundary at** $\rho \sim \rho_c$ with ρ_c so $\frac{r_0}{\ell_2} \ll \frac{r_0}{\ell_2} \sinh \frac{\rho_c}{\ell_2} \ll 1$.
- Allow **general boundary curve** $(\tau(u), \rho(u))$ but special interest in **thermal boundary** $\tau = \frac{R^2(r_0)}{r_0} u$ with $u \in [0, 2\pi]$.
- Extrinsic curvature of boundary curve:

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{\ell_2} \coth \frac{\rho}{\ell_2} - \frac{r_0}{\ell_2^2} \sinh \frac{\rho}{\ell_2} \partial_r R + \frac{\ell_2}{(\tau')^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\rho}{\ell_2}} \left(\frac{\tau'''}{\tau'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 \right)$$

- The first two terms are large because $\rho_c \gg \ell_2$.

The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

- The key terms in the on-shell action

$$\int_M R^2 \mathcal{R} + 2 \int_{\partial M} R^2 \mathcal{K} = 4\pi\chi R^2(r_0) + \dots$$

- $\chi = 1$ for a topological disc.
- Exact result (omit “dots”) applies when **explicit** R^2 constant but the **R^2 in the geometry** general.
- All terms in the “dots” are proportional to the **derivative** $\partial_r R^2$ of the **explicit** R^2 .

Effective IR Action from the UV

- With the exception of the Gauss-Bonnet term, **all terms are proportional to $\partial_r R^2$** :

$$\log Z = -I = \frac{\pi R_0^2}{G_4} + \frac{r_0 \partial_r R^2}{2G_4} \left[2\pi + \int du \left(\frac{\tau'''}{\tau'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 \right) \right]$$

- The scale Schwarzian and Gauss-Bonnet terms are the same.
- Restoring the **nAttractor scale L**:

$$\log Z = -I = S_0 + \frac{1}{4} LT \left[2\pi + \int du \left(\frac{\tau'''}{\tau'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 \right) \right]$$

- No contribution to the black hole from the Schwarzian: $\tau \sim u$.

Matching in Effective QFT

- UV: boundary curve just *outside the AdS₂ region* gives Schwarzian with determined coefficient.
- IR: boundary curve just *inside the AdS₂ region* gives Schwarzian form but undetermined coefficient.
- Effective QFT: UV and IR computations must give same form of the action.
- The effective parameters in the IR theory are determined by *matching*.

Summary and Outlook

- $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$ holography describes the *near* horizon region of *nearly* extreme black holes.
- The *near* extremality is unimportant: *near* horizon aspect is a radial derivative.
- A *nAttractor* mechanism computes *near* extreme heat capacity and *near* horizon scalars in terms of the *extreme* attractor.
- Generalization: 5D, gauged SUGRA, 4D nonBPS branch, rotation, higher curvature,...
- Does SUSY nonrenormalization protect scales and other physics?