# SPIN AND HIGHER MULTIPOLE CORRECTIONS TO EMRIS

Jiang LONG

Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

Jan 10, 2019 TSIMF Sanya

## CONTENTS

- Introduction & motivation
- Assumptions
- MPD formalism
- Orbits
- Gravitational waves
- Conclusion & discussion

## INTRODUCTION & MOTIVATION

- GR has passed varies tests, including deflection of light, precession of Mercury...
- Recently, gravitational waves, one of its prediction, has been detected by LIGO.
- Binary black hole (BBH) systems are perfect laboratory to test GR.

1)Newton gravity:

i) two-body problem, exactly solvable

ii) three-body problem?

2) Einstein gravity:

i) one-body problem, Schwarzchild, Kerr

ii) two-body problem, not easy to find an analytic solution

3) Gravitational waves are radiated from BBHs.

#### Masses in the Stellar Graveyard LIGO-Virgo Black Holes 80 40 20 10 00 5-0 • • **EM Neutron Stars** 2 LIGO-Virgo Neutron Stars 1

LIGO-Virgo | Frank Elavsky | Northwestern

## INTRODUCTION & MOTIVATION

- Two facts
  - 1) the mass ratio of two BHs (NS):  $\frac{M_1}{M_2} \approx 1$
  - 2) The mass of the BH in this picture:  $M \sim 10 M_{solar}$ , stellar black holes
- BHs:
  - 1) Stellar black hole: gravitational collapse of a star ,  $1 \sim 10^2 M_{solar}$
  - 2) Intermediate mass black hole (IMBH): no strong evidence,  $10^2 \sim 10^5 M_{solar}$
  - 3) Supermassive black hole (SMBH): center of galaxies,  $10^5 \sim 10^9 M_{solar}$

## INTRODUCTION & MOTIVATION

- The parameter space of BBH: *m*, *S*, *M*, *J*, *l*<sub>orb</sub>, ...
- LIGO just tests the region:  $\frac{m}{M} \approx 1$ ,  $M \approx 10M_{solar}$
- Intermediate and extreme mass ratio:  $q \equiv \frac{m}{M} \ll 1$
- The small BH  $m \approx M_{solar}$
- Intermediate mass ratio  $q \approx (10^{-2} \sim 10^{-5})$
- Extreme mass ratio  $q \approx (10^{-6} \sim 10^{-9})$
- We will discuss the perturbation theory to compute gravitational wave in the region  $q\ll 1$

## ASSUMPTIONS

#### • Large BH

- 1) Near-extreme Kerr black hole (High spin Kerr black hole)
- 2) Near horizon region: emergence of conformal symmetry the last stage of black hole merger

•  $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \frac{J^2}{M^4}} \ll 1$ 

J.Bardeen & G.Horowitz (1999)

M.Guica, T.Hartman, W.Song & A.Strominger (2009)

## ASSUMPTIONS

Inspiral Merger Ringdown 1.0 Strain (10<sup>-21</sup>) 0.5 0 -0.5 -1.0Numerical relativity Reconstructed (template) Separation (R<sub>S</sub>) <del>()</del> 0.6 Velocity 6.0 Velocity 7.0 Velocity 7.0 Velocity 3 Black hole separation Black hole relative velocity 0 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 Time (s)

- Coalescence of a binary black hole
- Three steps(phases):
  - 1) Inspiral
  - 2) Merger
  - 3) Ringdown
- Large high spin Kerr black hole as a background
- Three patches of Kerr black hole
  - 1) far region
  - 2) NHEK region
  - 3) near-NHEK region

### Three patches of a high spin Kerr black hole

Last stage of a small black hole Falls into a large high spin Kerr black hole is in NHEK and near-NHEK region

• J.Bardeen, W.Press, S.Teukolsky (1972)

## KERR BLACK HOLE



## FAR REGION

### • Far region

$$ds^{2} = -(1 - \frac{2M\hat{r}}{\Sigma})d\hat{t}^{2} + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}d\hat{r}^{2} + \Sigma d\theta^{2} + (\hat{r}^{2} + a^{2} + \frac{2Ma^{2}\hat{r}\sin^{2}\theta}{\Sigma})\sin^{2}\theta d\hat{\phi}^{2}$$
$$-\frac{4Ma\hat{r}\sin^{2}\theta}{\Sigma}d\hat{t}d\hat{\phi}$$
where
$$\Delta \equiv \hat{r}^{2} - 2M\hat{r} + a^{2}, \qquad \Sigma \equiv \hat{r}^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta.$$
$$\hat{x} \equiv \frac{\hat{r} - \hat{r}_{+}}{\hat{r}_{+}}$$

- $\hat{x} \ll 1$ , near horizon region
- $\hat{x} \rightarrow \infty$ , observer

## NHEK REGION

#### • NHEK region

$$ds^{2} = 2M^{2}\Gamma(\theta)\left(-R^{2}dT^{2} + \frac{dR^{2}}{R^{2}} + d\theta^{2} + \Lambda^{2}(\theta)(d\Phi + RdT)^{2}\right)$$

where

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \frac{1 + \cos^2 \theta}{2}, \qquad \Lambda(\theta) = \frac{2 \sin \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta}$$

• It can be obtained by coordinate transformation and take the limit  $\lambda \to 0$ 

$$T = \frac{\hat{t}}{2M} \lambda^{2/3},$$
  

$$R = \frac{\hat{r} - \hat{r}_{+}}{M} \lambda^{-2/3},$$
  

$$\Phi = \hat{\phi} - \Omega_{ext} \hat{t}, \qquad \Omega_{ext} \equiv \frac{1}{2M}$$

## NEAR-NHEK REGION

• Near-NHEK region

$$ds^{2} = 2M^{2}\Gamma(\theta)\left(-r(r+2\kappa)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r(r+2\kappa)} + d\theta^{2} + \Lambda^{2}(\theta)(d\phi + (r+\kappa)dt)^{2}\right)$$

It can be obtained by coordinate transformation and take the limit  $\lambda \to 0$ 

 $r \rightarrow 0$ , horizon

 $r \rightarrow \infty$ , attach to far region

$$\begin{split} t &=\; \frac{\hat{t}}{2M\kappa}\lambda, \\ r &=\; \kappa \frac{\hat{r}-\hat{r}_+}{M\lambda}, \\ \phi &=\; \hat{\phi}-\frac{\hat{t}}{2M}, \end{split}$$

## ASSUMPTIONS

- Small black hole
- 1) mass m (p<sup>μ</sup>)
- 2) spin S  $(S^{\rho\sigma})$
- 3) black hole is **not** a point particle, it has a size!
- 4) As a first step, we ignore any backreaction from gravitational waves
- How to describe the movement of an extended object in curved spacetime?
- Generalization of geodesics

- Geodesics of a point particle without spin  $u^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}u^{\rho} = 0$
- A particle with momentum p and spin S (MP equation)
- $p^{\mu} \equiv \int T^{\mu\rho} d\Sigma_{\rho}, \ S^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \int (x^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}) T^{\beta\gamma} d\Sigma_{\gamma} (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$
- Conservation of stress tensor

$$\frac{Dp^{\mu}}{D\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta}u^{\nu}S^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\frac{DS^{\mu\nu}}{D\tau} = p^{\mu}u^{\nu} - p^{\nu}u^{\mu}$$

Spin Supplementary Condition (SSC)

$$S^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu} = 0.$$

- Evolution equations of an extended body
- Force and torque: presence of higher multipoles
- $2^N pole$ : described by a tensor with N+2 indices
- $J_{\mu_1\mu_N\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  with symmetry structure

 $J^{\mu_1\mu_{N-2}\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = J^{(\mu_1\mu_{N-2})[\alpha\beta][\gamma\delta]},$   $J^{\mu_1\mu_{N-2}\alpha[\beta\gamma\delta]} = 0,$   $J^{\mu_1\mu_{N-3}[\mu_{N-2}\alpha\beta]\gamma\delta} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad N \ge 3$  $n_{\mu_1}J^{\mu_1\mu_{N-2}\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad N \ge 3.$ 

•  $g_{\alpha\beta,\mu_1\cdots\mu_N}$ : a extension of metric in the sense of Veblen and Thomas

ultipoles  

$$\frac{D\tau}{DS^{\mu\nu}} = 2^{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\mu} + \mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{N \ge 2} \frac{1}{N!} m^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{N} \lambda \kappa} \nabla^{\mu} g_{\lambda \kappa, \mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{N}},$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{N \ge 2} \frac{1}{(N-1)!} g^{\rho[\mu} m^{\nu]\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{N-1} \alpha \beta} g_{\{\rho\mu,\nu\}\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{N-1}}$$

$$m^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{N} \rho \sigma \kappa} = \frac{4N}{N+2} J^{(\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{N} |\sigma| \rho) \kappa}$$

$$g_{\{\alpha\beta,\gamma\}\delta\cdots} = g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta\cdots} - g_{\beta\gamma,\alpha\delta\cdots} + g_{\gamma\alpha,\beta\delta\cdots}$$

 $\frac{Dp^{\mu}}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}R^{\mu} = u^{\nu}S^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{F}^{\mu}$ 

- Mass:
  - 1)  $\underline{m}^2 = -p^2$
  - 2)  $m = -p \cdot u$
- In general,  $\underline{m} \neq m$
- Spin:

$$S_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2\underline{m}} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} p^{\alpha} S^{\beta\gamma}$$

• Spin length:

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha\beta} S^{\alpha\beta} = S^{\mu} S_{\mu}$$

• <u>m</u>, m, S are not conserved in the presence of higher multipoles

- Conserved quantities: Given a Killing vector  $\xi^{\alpha}$ 

 $Q_{\xi} = \xi_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} S^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}$  is conserved even in the presence of multipoles

- Stress tensor: MPD equations are equivalent to  $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\rho} = 0$
- Up to quadrupole,

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{6} J^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \nabla^{\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{3} J^{\alpha\beta\gamma[\mu} R^{\nu]}_{\ \gamma\alpha\beta}.$$

• The stress tensor is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int d\tau [(p^{(\mu}u^{\nu)})\mathcal{D} + \frac{1}{3}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{(\mu}J^{\nu)\gamma\beta\alpha}\mathcal{D} - \nabla_{\alpha}(S^{\alpha(\mu}u^{\nu)}\mathcal{D}) - \frac{2}{3}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}(J^{\alpha(\mu\nu)\beta}\mathcal{D})$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\delta^{(4)}(x^{\mu} - x^{\mu}_{*}(\tau))$$

## QUADRUPOLE MODEL

- To solve MPD equations, one should construct explicit higher multipole model
- Some effects that could contribute to quadrupole

1) spin-induced quadrupole  $S^{\alpha[\mu}p^{\nu]}S^{[\rho}_{\alpha}p^{\sigma]}$ 

2)gravito-electric tidal field induced quadrupole

3)gravito-magnetic tidal field induced quadrupole

$$p^{[\mu}Q^{\nu]\rho\sigma} + p^{[\sigma}Q^{\rho]\nu\mu}$$

• The quadrupole is a linear combination of these terms

$$J^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{m}{\underline{m}^{3}} \left[ \frac{3\kappa_{S^{2}}}{\underline{m}} S^{\alpha[\mu} p^{\nu]} S_{\alpha}^{\ [\rho} p^{\sigma]} + 3\mu_{2} p^{[\mu} E^{\nu][\rho} p^{\sigma]} + 2\sigma_{2} (p^{[\mu} Q^{\nu]\rho\sigma} + p^{[\sigma} Q^{\rho]\nu\mu}) \right]$$

• J.Steinhoff & D.Puetzfeld (2012)

$$Q^{\mu\nu\rho} = \epsilon^{\rho\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta}p^{\alpha}B^{\mu\beta},$$
  

$$E_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\underline{\mathbf{m}}^{2}}R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}p^{\rho}p^{\sigma},$$
  

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\underline{\mathbf{m}}^{2}}\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}R_{\nu\delta}{}^{\beta\gamma}p^{\alpha}p$$

## QUADRUPOLE MODEL

• Dimensional analysis

$$[x^{\mu}] = -1, \ [\tau] = -1, \ [p^{\mu}] = 1, \ [u^{\mu}] = 0, \ [S^{\mu\nu}] = 0, \ [g_{\mu\nu}] = 0, [R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\rho\sigma}] = 2, \ [J^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}] = -1, \ [G_N] = -2, \ [c] = 0. [\kappa_{S^2}] = 0, \ [\mu_2] = -3, \ [\sigma_2] = -3.$$

- $\kappa_{S^2} = 1$  for black hole,  $\kappa_{S^2} \approx 5$  for neutron stars W.Laarakkers & E.Poisson (1999)
- $\underline{m}$ , m are non conserved, though they are equal up to  $O(S^3)$
- $\mu$  is conserved up to  $O(S^3)$ , it is the mass term in perturbation theory

$$\mu = m + \frac{\kappa_{S^2}}{2m} E_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu}_{\ \alpha} S^{\alpha\nu} + \frac{\mu_2}{4} E_{\mu\nu} E^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3} \sigma_2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

- Solve MPD equations in near-NHEK region to find the trajectory of the small BH
- Spinless case:
   Equatorial plane

$$r = r_0 = \frac{2\kappa\ell}{\sqrt{3(\ell^2 - \ell_*^2)}} - \kappa, \qquad e = -\frac{\sqrt{3\kappa}}{2}\sqrt{\ell^2}$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 - \frac{3}{4}(r_0 + \kappa)t.$$

$$q \equiv \frac{\mu}{M} \ll 1.$$

• Spin and size effect: small mass ratio expansion

$$\chi \equiv \frac{S}{\mu^2} \qquad \qquad -1 \le \chi \le 1$$

• In small q expansion, one can prove

$$p^{\mu} = O(q^1), S^{\alpha\beta} = O(q^2), \mu_2 = O(q^5), \sigma_2 = O(q^5)$$

• Gravito-electric and magnetic tidal deformations are higher order

 $\frac{\kappa}{r_0}$ 

Assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= r_0, \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \phi = -\alpha r_0 t \\ u^t &= \frac{1}{Mr_0\sqrt{8(1+\kappa_0)\alpha - (3+4\alpha^2 + 6\kappa_0 + 4\kappa_0^2)}}, \quad u^\phi = -\alpha r_0 u^t, \\ S^{tr} &= \frac{(1+\kappa_0)\chi q^2}{\lambda_0} (1 + \frac{6(1+2\kappa_0)\chi q}{\lambda_0^2} + \mathcal{O}(q^2)), \\ S^{r\phi} &= \frac{r_0\kappa_0^2\chi q^2}{\lambda_0} (1 + \frac{9(1+\kappa_0)^2(1+2\kappa_0)\chi q}{2\kappa_0^2\lambda_0^2} + \mathcal{O}(q^2)), \\ p^t &= \frac{2q}{r_0\lambda_0} (1 + \frac{3(1+\kappa_0)^2\chi q}{2\lambda_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{(3(1+\kappa_0)^2(6+12\kappa_0+\kappa_0^2) + 2(-9+\kappa_0(-36-36\kappa_0+\kappa_0^3))\kappa_{S^2})\chi^2 q^2}{2\lambda_0^4} + \mathcal{O}(q^3)), \\ p^\phi &= -\frac{3(1+\kappa_0)q}{2\lambda_0} (1 + \frac{2\kappa_0^2\chi q}{\lambda_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{(2\kappa_{S^2}(-9-36\kappa_0-36\kappa_0^2+\kappa_0^4) + 9+36\kappa_0+57\kappa_0^2 + 42\kappa_0^3 + 4\kappa_0^4)\chi^2 q^2}{2\lambda_0^4} + \mathcal{O}(q^3)), \end{aligned}$$

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{3}{4} (1+\kappa_0) (1 - \frac{\chi q}{2} + \frac{1}{4} (4\kappa_{S^2} - 5)\chi^2 q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^3)) \\ e &\equiv \frac{Q_{-\partial_t}}{\mu} = -\frac{2Mr_0\kappa_0^2}{\lambda_0} (1 + \frac{(9+18\kappa_0 + \kappa_0^2)\chi q}{2\lambda_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{(27(1+\kappa_0)^2(1+2\kappa_0) + 2(-9+\kappa_0(-36-36\kappa_0 + \kappa_0^3))\kappa_{S^2})\chi^2 q^2}{2\lambda_0^4} + \mathcal{O}(q^3)) \\ \ell &\equiv \frac{Q_{\partial_\phi}}{\mu} = \frac{2M(1+\kappa_0)}{\lambda_0} (1 + \frac{(3+\kappa_0(6+\kappa_0))\chi q}{\lambda_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{(2\kappa_{S^2}(-9-36\kappa_0 - 36\kappa_0^2 + \kappa_0^4) + 9 + 36\kappa_0 + 69\kappa_0^2 + 66\kappa_0^3)\chi^2 q^2}{2\lambda_0^4} + \mathcal{O}(q^3)), \\ \underline{\mathbf{m}} &= Mq(1 - \frac{(3+6\kappa_0 + \kappa_0^2)\kappa_{S^2}\chi^2 q^2}{\lambda_0^2} + \mathcal{O}(q^3)). \end{aligned}$$

NHEK:  $\kappa_0 \rightarrow 0$ 

$$S^{tr} = \frac{\ell \chi q^2}{\sqrt{3}\ell_*} (1 + 2\chi q) + O(q^4),$$

$$S^{r\phi} = -\frac{e\chi q^2}{\sqrt{3}\ell_*} (1 + \frac{2\chi q}{1 - \frac{\ell_*^2}{\ell^2}}) + O(q^4),$$

$$p^t = -\frac{\sqrt{3}\ell_* q}{2e} (\frac{\ell^2}{\ell_*^2} - 1)(1 - \frac{\chi^2 q^2}{2}) + O(q^4),$$

$$p^{\phi} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}\ell q}{2\ell_*} (1 + (\frac{1}{2} - \kappa_{S^2})\chi^2 q^2) + O(q^4),$$

$$\underline{m} = Mq(1 - \frac{\kappa_{S^2}}{2}(\frac{\ell^2}{\ell_*^2} + 1)\chi^2 q^2) + O(q^4),$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{\kappa_0} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\ell}{2\sqrt{\ell^2 - \ell_*^2}} (1 + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{S^2} - 1)\chi^2 q^2) + O(q^3).$$

$$\ell_*[\chi q] \equiv \frac{2M}{\sqrt{3}} (1 + \chi q + (\frac{1}{2} - \kappa_{S^2})(\chi q)^2) + O(q^3).$$

•  $l_*$  is the orbital angular momentum of NHEK circular orbit, critical angular momentum in near-NHEK

## GENERAL EQUATORIAL ORBITS

- Conformal transformation:  $SL(2, R) \times U(1) \times PT$ 
  - 1) preserve NHEK
  - 2) preserve near-NHEK
  - 3) NHEK⇔near-NHEK
- Near-NHEK: Circular( $l_*$ )
  - NHEK: *Circular*\*
- Spinless case: all plunging or osculating equatorial orbits entering into near-NHEK or NHEK are conformally related to a circular orbit.

### G.Compere, K.Fransen, T.Hertog, J.Long (2017)

• MPD equations are covariant. We expect any equatorial orbit can be obtained by applying conformal maps.



• Teukolsky equation, Linearized perturbation equation of Kerr black hole  $G_{\mu\nu}=8\pi G_N T_{\mu\nu}$ 

### Newman-Penrose formalism

- two null vectors  $I^{\mu}$ ,  $n^{\mu}$  and one complex null vector  $m^{\mu}$ ,  $I \cdot n = -m \cdot \bar{m} = -1$  and  $g_{\mu\nu} = -l_{(\mu}n_{\nu)} + m_{(\mu}\bar{m}_{\nu)}$
- four derivatives

$$D=I^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}, \quad \Delta=n^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}, \quad \delta=m^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}, \quad ar{\delta}=ar{m}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}.$$

- twelve spin coefficients
- five Weyl scalars

#### Spin coefficicent & Weyl scalar

$\kappa$	=	$-m^{\mu}l^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu}$	$\sigma = -m^{\mu}m^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu}$
$\lambda$	=	$-n^{\mu}\bar{m}^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{m}_{\mu}$	$\nu = -n^{\mu}n^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{m}_{\mu}$
$\rho$	=	$-m^{\mu}\bar{m}^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu}$	$\mu = -n^{\mu}m^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{m}_{\mu}$
au	=	$-m^{\mu}n^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu}$	$\varpi = -n^{\mu}l^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{m}_{\mu}$
$\epsilon$	=	$-\frac{1}{2}(n^{\mu}l^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu}+$	$m^{\mu}l^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{m}_{\mu})$
$\gamma$	=	$-\frac{1}{2}(n^{\mu}n^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu} +$	$-m^{\mu}n^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{m}_{\mu})$
$\alpha$	=	$-\frac{1}{2}(n^{\mu}\bar{m}^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu}\cdot$	$+ m^{\mu} \bar{m}^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \bar{m}_{\mu})$
$\beta$	=	$-\frac{1}{2}(n^{\mu}m^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}l_{\mu}\cdot$	$+ m^{\mu}m^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{m}_{\mu})$

$$\psi_{0} = C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}l^{\alpha}m^{\beta}l^{\mu}m^{\nu}$$
  

$$\psi_{1} = C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}l^{\alpha}n^{\beta}l^{\mu}m^{\nu}$$
  

$$\psi_{2} = C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}l^{\alpha}m^{\beta}\bar{m}^{\mu}n^{\nu}$$
  

$$\psi_{3} = C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}l^{\alpha}n^{\beta}\bar{m}^{\mu}n^{\nu}$$
  

$$\psi_{4} = C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}n^{\alpha}\bar{m}^{\beta}n^{\mu}\bar{m}^{\nu}$$

•  $\delta \psi_{-2} = \rho^{-4} \delta \psi_4$  encodes complete information of gravitational waves  $\delta \psi_4(r \to \infty) = \frac{1}{2} (\ddot{h}_+ - i\ddot{h}_\times)(r \to \infty)$ 

• Gravitational perturbations around Kerr BHs

$$\begin{split} &[(D-3\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}-4\rho-\bar{\rho})(\Delta-4\gamma+\mu)-\\ &(\delta+\overline{\varpi}-\bar{\alpha}-3\beta-4\tau)(\bar{\delta}+\varpi-4\alpha)-3\psi_2]\delta\psi_0=4\pi T_0,\\ &[(\Delta+3\gamma-\bar{\gamma}+4\mu+\bar{\mu})(D+4\epsilon-\rho)-\\ &(\bar{\delta}-\bar{\tau}+\bar{\beta}+3\alpha+4\varpi)(\delta-\tau+4\beta)-3\psi_2]\delta\psi_4=4\pi T_4 \end{split}$$

• source term: stress tensor  $T_{lm} = T_{\mu\nu} l^{\mu} n^{\nu}$ .

$$T_{0} = (\delta + \overline{\varpi} - \bar{\alpha} - 3\beta - 4\tau) [(D - 2\epsilon - 2\bar{\rho})T_{lm} - (\delta + \overline{\varpi} - 2\bar{\alpha} - 2\beta)T_{ll}] \\ + (D - 3\epsilon + \bar{\epsilon} - 4\rho - \bar{\rho}) [(\delta + 2\overline{\varpi} - 2\beta)T_{lm} - (D - 2\epsilon + 2\bar{\epsilon} - \bar{\rho})T_{mm}], \\ T_{4} = (\Delta - \bar{\gamma} + \bar{\mu} + 3\gamma + 4\mu) [(\bar{\delta} - 2\bar{\tau} + 2\alpha)T_{n\bar{m}} - (\Delta + \bar{\mu} - 2\bar{\gamma} + 2\gamma)T_{\bar{m}\bar{m}}] \\ + (\bar{\delta} + 3\alpha + \bar{\beta} + 4\varpi - \bar{\tau}) [(\Delta + 2\bar{\mu} + 2\gamma)T_{n\bar{m}} - (\bar{\delta} + 2\alpha + 2\bar{\beta} - \bar{\tau})T_{nn}]$$

- Teukolsky equation
- 1) far region: source free, outgoing at infinity
- 2) NHEK or near-NHEK region: source stress tensor, ingoing at horizon
- Stress tensor with quadrupole correction

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int d\tau [(p^{(\mu}u^{\nu)})\mathcal{D} + \frac{1}{3}R^{(\mu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}J^{\nu)\gamma\beta\alpha}\mathcal{D} - \nabla_{\alpha}(S^{\alpha(\mu}u^{\nu)}\mathcal{D}) - \frac{2}{3}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}(J^{\alpha(\mu\nu)\beta}\mathcal{D})]$$

• For  $2^N$ -pole,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}^{i+j+k\leq N} T^{\mu\nu}_{ijk} \delta^{(i)}(r-r_0) \delta^{(j)}(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}) \delta^{(k)}(\phi+\alpha r_0 t)$$

• Matching at intermediate region

 $\delta\psi_4|_{Kerr} = M^2 \lambda^{4/3} \times \delta\psi_4|_{NHEK}$ 

$$h_{+} - ih_{\times} = \frac{\mu}{\hat{r}} \sum_{l,m} \mathcal{A}_{lm}(\frac{\ell}{\ell_{*}}, \chi q; \lambda, \kappa_{S^{2}}) S_{lm}(\theta) e^{im\hat{\phi} - i\hat{\omega}\hat{t}}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{lm} = -8 \frac{M^4}{am^2} B_{lm}(x_*) \mathcal{K}_{\kappa}^{far}$$

$$B_{lm}(x_*) = \frac{q}{\mathcal{W}_{\kappa} M^4 r_0} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{lm\omega}^{in}(r_0)}{(1+2\kappa_0)^2} \left[ -2t_4 \left( \frac{V^2(r_0)}{(1+2\kappa_0)^2} + \frac{r_0^2 V''(r_0)}{1+2\kappa_0} \right) - b_3 \frac{r_0 V'(r_0)}{1+2\kappa_0} \right] \right.$$

$$+ b_0 + b_2 \frac{V(r_0)}{1+2\kappa_0} \left] + \frac{r_0 \mathcal{R}_{lm\omega}^{in\prime}(r_0)}{(1+2\kappa_0)^2} \left[ -b_1 + 2_{-2}t_4 \frac{r_0 V'(r_0)}{1+2\kappa_0} - \tilde{b}_3 \frac{V(r_0)}{1+2\kappa_0} \right] \right]$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\kappa}^{far} \equiv \frac{\lambda^h \kappa^{-h} k_1}{1-\lambda^{2h-1} k_2 \frac{\Gamma(h-in+im)}{\Gamma(1-h-in+im)}}$$

$$n \equiv \frac{\omega}{\kappa} + m.$$

$$k_1 \equiv \frac{2^{im} e^{-im/2} \Gamma(2-2h)}{\Gamma(1-h+im-s)} (im)^{h-1+im-s} \left[ 1 - \frac{(-im)^{2h-1}}{(im)^{2h-1}} \frac{\sin \pi(h+im)}{\sin \pi(h-im)} \right]$$

$$k_2 \equiv (-2im)^{2h-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-2h)^2}{\Gamma(2h-1)^2} \frac{\Gamma(h-im+s)}{\Gamma(1-h-im+s)} \frac{\Gamma(h-im-s)}{\Gamma(1-h-im-s)},$$

$$h = \frac{1}{2} (1+sim(r_0^2)r_0) = r_0 = s \sqrt{1-7r_0^2 + 4s}.$$

### • Circular $(l_*)$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{lm\omega}^{\rm in}(r) &= r^{-in/2-s}(\frac{r}{2\kappa}+1)^{i(\frac{n}{2}-m)-s}{}_{2}F_{1}(h-im-s,1-h-im-s,1-in-s,-\frac{r}{2\kappa}) \\ \mathcal{W}_{\kappa} &\equiv -\frac{(2\kappa)^{1-h-in/2}\Gamma(2h)\Gamma(1-in-s)}{\Gamma(h+im-in)\Gamma(h-im-s)} \\ b_{0} &= -2t_{0} + 4\frac{1+\kappa_{0}}{1+2\kappa_{0}} -_{2}t_{1} + 4\frac{5+10\kappa_{0}+6\kappa_{0}^{2}}{(1+2\kappa_{0})^{2}} -_{2}t_{2} + 24\frac{(1+\kappa_{0})(5+10\kappa_{0}+8\kappa_{0}^{2})}{(1+2\kappa_{0})^{3}} -_{2}t_{3} \\ &+ 24\frac{35+140\kappa_{0}+252\kappa_{0}^{2}+224\kappa_{0}^{3}+80\kappa_{0}^{4}}{(1+2\kappa_{0})^{2}} -_{2}t_{4}, \\ b_{1} &= -2t_{1} + 6\frac{1+\kappa_{0}}{1+2\kappa_{0}} -_{2}t_{2} + 2\frac{19+38\kappa_{0}+24\kappa_{0}^{2}}{(1+2\kappa_{0})^{2}} -_{2}t_{3} + 16\frac{(1+\kappa_{0})(17+34\kappa_{0}+30\kappa_{0}^{2})}{(1+2\kappa_{0})^{3}} -_{2}t_{4}, \\ b_{2} &= -2t_{2} + 12\frac{1+\kappa_{0}}{1+2\kappa_{0}} -_{2}t_{3} + 2\frac{61+122\kappa_{0}+72\kappa_{0}^{2}}{(1+2\kappa_{0})^{2}} -_{2}t_{4}, \\ b_{3} &= -2t_{3} + 18\frac{1+\kappa_{0}}{1+2\kappa_{0}} -_{2}t_{4}, \\ \tilde{b}_{3} &= -2t_{3} + 16\frac{1+\kappa_{0}}{1+2\kappa_{0}} -_{2}t_{4}. \end{split}$$

### • Radial source term of Teukolsky equation

$$-_{2}T_{lm\tilde{\Omega}}(r) = -4M^{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi e^{-im(\phi-\tilde{\omega}t)} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \sin\theta S_{lm}(\theta) (1+\cos^{2}\theta) (1-i\cos\theta)^{4} \mathcal{T}_{4}$$
$$= q \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} -_{2}t_{i} \frac{r_{0}^{i+3}}{M^{4}} \delta^{(i)}(r-r_{0})$$

•  $-2^{t_i}$  are fixed by circular orbit

- $\mathcal{A}_{lm}$  is independent of M
- $h_+ ih_{\times} \propto \frac{\mu}{\hat{r}}$  typical fall off behavior
- For extreme Kerr black holes, the frequency of the emitted GWs is locked by kinematics to be extremal value  $\hat{\omega}_{ext} = \frac{m}{2M}$
- For near-extreme Kerr black holes, the frequency is relatively shifted

$$\frac{\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}_{ext}}{\hat{\omega}_{ext}} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\ell_*^2}{\ell^2}}} (1 + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{S^2} - 1)\chi^2 q^2) + O(q^3)$$

 $\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\ell_*^2}{2}}} \ll 1$ 

Near-NHEK approximation requires

• 
$$l$$
 can be very close to  $l_*$  but can never be reached in near – NHEK.

- Maximal:  $l \rightarrow l_*$ , minimal:  $l \rightarrow \infty$
- Vanishes at first order of  $\chi q = \frac{S}{\mu M}$
- Vanishes at second order of S for black holes (  $\kappa_{S^2} = 1$ ), non-zero for neutron stars

- Amplitude is independent of  $r_0$
- The leading contribution is from the modes with  $h = \frac{1}{2} i\delta_{lm}$
- Scaling behavior in the limit  $l \rightarrow l_*$

$$\lim_{\ell \to \ell_*} \mathcal{A}_{lm}(\frac{\ell}{\ell_*}, \chi q, \lambda, \kappa_{S^2}) \sim \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\ell_*^2}{\ell^2}}}\right)^{1/2}$$

• Generalization of the scaling behavior with spin and higher multipole corrections.

### G.Compere, K.Fransen, T.Hertog, J.Long (2017)

- No divergent in the limit  $l \rightarrow l_*$
- The orbit is completely fixed given energy and orbital angular momentum, using Boyer-Linquist coordinates  $\hat{x} = \frac{\hat{r} \hat{r}_+}{\hat{r}_+}$ ,  $\hat{\chi}_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\kappa_0}$

$$\lim_{\ell \to \ell_*} \mathcal{A}_{lm}(\frac{\ell}{\ell_*}, \chi q, \lambda, \kappa_{S^2}) \sim \hat{x}_0^{1/2}$$

- Energy flux (working in progress)
- Since we already obtained the waveform at infinity and horizon, the energy flux can be found to be (NHEK)
- $\dot{E}_{\infty} = q^2 \hat{x}_0 [a_{\infty}^{(0)} + a_{\infty}^{(1)} \chi q + (a_{\infty}^{(2)} + \kappa_{S^2} \tilde{a}_{\infty}^{(2)}) (\chi q)^2 + \cdots]$
- $\dot{E}_H = q^2 \hat{x}_0 [a_H^{(0)} + a_H^{(1)} \chi q + (a_H^{(2)} + \kappa_{S^2} \tilde{a}_H^{(2)}) (\chi q)^2 + \cdots]$
- $a_{\infty}^{(i)}$ ,  $a_{H}^{(i)}$  are constants which should be evaluated numerically.
- $a_{\infty}^{(0)} = 0.987, a_{H}^{(0)} = -0.133$
- S.Gralla, S.Hughes & N.Warburton (2016) •  $a_{\infty}^{(1)} = ?, a_{H}^{(1)} = ?$
- $a_{\infty}^{(2)} = ?, a_{H}^{(2)} = ?$
- $\tilde{a}_{\infty}^{(2)} = ?, \tilde{a}_{\mu}^{(2)} = ?$

First order correction from spin effect

Second order correction from spin effect

First order correction from size (quadrupole) effect

- Detectability
- Extremely small  $\lambda$ , rapidly spinning Kerr black hole
- Existence?
- K.S.Thorne bound (1974): *J* ≤ 0.998*M*<sup>2</sup>
- X-ray observing campaigns for AGNs
- L.Brenneman, "Measuring Supermassive Black Hole Spins in Active Galactic Nuclei" 2013
- Maybe we can assume the existence of high spin Kerr black hole

AGN	a	log M	$L_{\rm bol}/L_{\rm Edd}$	Host
MCG-6-30-15 <sup>a</sup>	$\geq +0.98$	$6.65_{-0.17}^{+0.17}$	$0.40^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$	E/S0
Fairall 9 <sup>b</sup>	$+0.52^{+0.19}_{-0.15}$	$8.41^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	$0.05^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	Sc
SWIFT J2127.4+5654 $^{c}$	$+0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$7.18^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$0.18^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	_
$1 \text{ H0707-495}^{d}$	$\ge +0.98$	$6.70^{+0.40}_{-0.40}$	$\sim 1.0_{-0.6}$	_
Mrk 79 <sup>e</sup>	$+0.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$7.72_{-0.14}^{+0.14}$	$0.05^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$\operatorname{SBb}$
Mrk 335 <sup><i>f</i></sup>	$+0.70^{+0.12}_{-0.01}$	$7.15_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$	$0.25_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$	S0a
NGC 3783 <sup>g</sup>	$\ge +0.98$	$7.47^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$0.06^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	SB(r)ab
Ark 120 <sup>h</sup>	$+0.94^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$8.18^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$0.04^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	Sb/pec
$3C \ 120^{i}$	$\geq 0.95$	$7.74^{+0.20}_{-0.22}$	$0.31^{+0.20}_{-0.19}$	S0
1 H0419–577 <sup>j</sup>	$\ge +0.88$	$8.18^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$1.27^{+0.42}_{-0.42}$	—
Ark $564^{j}$	$+0.96^{+0.01}_{-0.06}$	$\leq 6.90$	$\geq 0.11$	SB
Mrk 110 <sup>j</sup>	$\geq +0.99$	$7.40^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	$0.16^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	—
SWIFT J0501.9-3239 <sup>j</sup>	$\ge +0.96$	_	_	SB0/a(s) pec
Ton S180 <sup>j</sup>	$+0.91^{+0.02}_{-0.09}$	$7.30^{+0.60}_{-0.40}$	$2.15^{+3.21}_{-1.61}$	—
RBS 1124 <sup>j</sup>	$\ge +0.98$	8.26	0.15	—
Mrk 359 <sup>j</sup>	$+0.66^{+0.30}_{-0.54}$	6.04	0.25	$\mathbf{pec}$
Mrk 841 <sup>j</sup>	$\geq +0.52$	7.90	0.44	E
IRAS 13224-3809 <sup>j</sup>	$\geq +0.995$	7.00	0.71	
Mrk 1018 <sup>j</sup>	$+0.58^{+0.36}_{-0.74}$	8.15	0.01	S0
IRAS 00521-7054 <sup>l</sup>	$\ge +0.84$	—	_	
NGC 4051 <sup>m</sup>	$\ge +0.99$	6.28	0.03	SAB(rs)bc
NGC $1365^k$	$+0.97^{+0.01}_{-0.04}$	$6.60^{+1.40}_{-0.30}$	$0.06^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	SB(s)b

- Detectability
- Leading order frequency is locked by extreme Kerr black hole
- $f_H = \frac{1}{4\pi M} = 1.6 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{10^6 M_{solar}}{M}\right)$
- SMBH: space-based detectors, LISA
- IMBH: ground-based detectors, Advanced LIGO, VIRGO
- Precise observation?

$$\frac{\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}_{ext}}{\hat{\omega}_{ext}} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\ell_*^2}{\ell^2}}} (1 + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{S^2} - 1)\chi^2 q^2)$$

• Black holes and Neutron stars are different at second order of spin

- Detectability
- Extreme mass ratio coalescence,  $q \sim 10^{-6}$ , spin and size effect are too small
- Intermediate mass ratio coalescence (IMRAC),  $q \sim 10^{-2}$ , maybe it is more closely related to experiments.
- Two types of IMRACs
  - 1) stellar mass BH falls into IMBH, LIGO
  - 2) IMBH falls into SMBH, LISA
- The method is reliable for IMRACs?
- Existence of IMBH?
- The self force effect should be comparable to spin effect
- Convergence problem for higher multipole?

- Future direction
- 1) Self force correction
- 2) Circular orbits out of equatorial plane (spin effect is necessary)
- 3) Plunging orbits from conformal transformation
- 4) MPD equation at the full level by including all higher multipoles for BHs?
- 5) Exact critical orbital angular momentum with all higher multipole corrections?
- 6) Numerical simulation and confirm our results
- 7) …

## THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

### TECHNICAL DETAILS CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{NHEK} &\to \mathsf{NHEK} \text{ isomorphism} \\ \bar{R} &= \frac{R^2(1+T^2) - 1 + (1+R^2(1-T^2))\cos\zeta - 2R^2T\sin\zeta}{2R} \\ \bar{T} &= \frac{2R^2T\cos\zeta + (1+R^2(1-T^2))\sin\zeta}{2R}\frac{1}{\bar{R}} \\ \bar{\Phi} &= \Phi + \log\frac{\cos\frac{\zeta}{2}R - \sin\frac{\zeta}{2}(1+RT)}{\cos\frac{\zeta}{2}R - \sin\frac{\zeta}{2}(-1+RT)} \end{split}$$
(10)

 $\mathsf{NHEK} \to \mathsf{NHEK} \text{ discrete transformation}$ 

$$T \to -T, \ \Phi \to -\Phi$$
 (11)

### TECHNICAL DETAILS CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION

near-NHEK  $\rightarrow$  near-NHEK isomorphism

$$r = (\bar{r} + \kappa) \cos \zeta - \sqrt{\bar{r}(\bar{r} + 2\kappa)} \sin \zeta \sinh \kappa \bar{t} - \kappa,$$
  

$$t = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \log \frac{\sqrt{\bar{r}(\bar{r} + 2\kappa)} (\cosh \kappa \bar{t} + \cos \zeta \sinh \kappa \bar{t}) + \sin \zeta (\bar{r} + \kappa)}{\sqrt{\bar{r}(\bar{r} + 2\kappa)} (\cosh \kappa \bar{t} - \cos \zeta \sinh \kappa \bar{t}) - \sin \zeta (\bar{r} + \kappa)}$$
  

$$\phi = \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{r}{r + 2\kappa} + \log \frac{e^{\kappa \bar{t}} \sqrt{\bar{r} + 2\kappa} \cos \frac{\zeta}{2} + \sqrt{\bar{r}} \sin \frac{\zeta}{2}}{e^{\kappa \bar{t}} \sqrt{\bar{r}} \cos \frac{\zeta}{2} + \sqrt{\bar{r}} + 2\kappa} \sin \frac{\zeta}{2}}.$$

near-NHEK  $\rightarrow$  near-NHEK discrete transformation

$$t \to -t, \phi \to -\phi$$
 (12)

## TECHNICAL DETAILS

CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION

NHEK  $\rightarrow$  near-NHEK diffeomorphism

$$r = \kappa(-RT - 1),$$

$$t = \frac{1}{\kappa} \log \frac{R}{\sqrt{R^2T^2 - 1}},$$

$$\phi = \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{-RT - 1}{-RT + 1}.$$
near-NHEK diffeomorphism
$$(13)$$

$$R = \frac{1}{\kappa} e^{\kappa t} \sqrt{r(r+2\kappa)},$$

$$T = -e^{-\kappa t} \frac{r+\kappa}{\sqrt{r(r+2\kappa)}},$$

$$\Phi = \phi - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{r}{r+2\kappa}.$$
(14)

### TECHNICAL DETAILS NHEK, NEAR-NHEK & KERR

#### Energy and Angular momentum

- $\hat{e}, \hat{l}$  asymptotically flat observer
- E, L NHEK
- e, / near-NHEK

$$\hat{l} = L, \ \hat{e} = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2M}E - \frac{1}{2M}L$$
$$\hat{l} = l, \ \hat{e} = \frac{\lambda}{2M\kappa}e - \frac{1}{2M}l$$

 $\hat{x} \to 0$  region should be attached to  $R \to \infty$  or  $r \to \infty$  region wave function should be matched

$$\psi(\hat{x} \to 0)|_{Kerr} \sim \psi(R \to \infty)|_{NHEK}$$
 (8)

$$\psi(\hat{x} \to 0)|_{Kerr} \sim \psi(r \to \infty)|_{near-NHEK}$$
 (9)

# TECHNICAL DETAILS

• Angular part

$$\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} (\sin\theta \frac{\mathrm{d}S_{lm}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}) + \left[\frac{m^2}{4}\cos^2\theta - ms\cos\theta - \left(\frac{m^2 + 2ms\cos\theta + s^2}{\sin^2\theta}\right) + \mathcal{E}_{lm}\right]S_{lm} = 0.$$

• Radial part

$$(r(r+2\kappa))^{-s} \frac{d}{dr} ((r(r+2\kappa))^{s+1} \frac{dR_{lm\omega}}{dr}) - V(r)R_{lm\omega}(r) = T_{lm\omega}(r)$$
$$V(r) = -\frac{3}{4}m^2 - s(s+1) + \mathcal{E}_{lm} - 2ism + \frac{(mr+\kappa n)(\kappa(2si-n) + r(2si-m))}{r(r+2\kappa)}$$

### TECHNICAL DETAILS TEUKOLSKY EQUATION

• Radial equation with Delta function

A(r)(B(r)R(r)')' - V(r)R(r) = T(r) $T(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} a_i \delta^{(i)}(r - r_0).$ 

• Assume  $R_{1,2}(r)$  are two independent solutions of homogeneous equation

$$R(r) = X_1 R_1(r) \Theta(r_0 - r) + X_2 R_2(r) \Theta(r - r_0) + Y_1 R_1(r) + Y_2 R_2(r) + \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i \delta^{(i)}(r - r_0)$$

• Define Wronskian

$$W = B(R_1 R_2' - R_2 R_1')$$

$$\begin{split} X_{1} &= \frac{-1}{A^{5}B^{3}W} [a_{0}(-A^{4}B^{3}R_{2}) + a_{1}A^{3}B^{3}(AR'_{2} - A'R_{2}) + a_{2}A^{2}B^{2}(R_{2}(-AV - 2BA'^{2} + ABA'') + R'_{2}(2ABA' + A^{2}B')) + a_{3}AB(R_{2}(-4ABVA' - 6A'^{3}B^{2} - 2A^{2}B'V + A^{2}BV' + 6AA'A''B^{2} - A^{2}B^{2}A^{(3)}) + R'_{2}(A^{2}BV + 6AB^{2}A'^{2} + 3A^{2}BA'B' + 2A^{3}B'^{2} - 3A^{2}B^{2}A'' - A^{3}BB'')) + a_{4}(R_{2}(-A^{2}BV^{2} - 18AB^{2}A'^{2}V - 24A'^{4}B^{3} - 11A^{2}BA'B'V' - 6A^{3}B'^{2}V + 6A^{2}B^{2}A'V' + 3A^{3}BB'V' + 7A^{2}B^{2}A''V + 36AB^{3}A'^{2}A'' - 6A^{2}B^{3}A''^{2} + 3A^{3}BB''V - A^{3}B^{2}V'' - 8A^{2}B^{3}A'A^{(3)} + A^{3}B^{3}A^{(4)}) + R'_{2}(6A^{2}B^{2}A'V + 24AB^{3}A'^{3} + 4A^{3}BB'V + 12A^{2}B^{2}A'^{2}B' + 8A^{3}BA'B'^{2} + 6A^{4}B'^{3} - 2A^{3}B^{2}V' - 24A^{2}B^{3}A'A'' - 6A^{3}B^{2}B'A'' - 4A^{3}B^{2}A'B' - 6A^{4}BB'B'' + 4A^{3}B^{3}A^{(3)} + A^{4}B^{2}B^{(3)}))], \quad (B.4) \\ X_{2} &= X_{1}(R_{2} \leftrightarrow R_{1}, \text{ keeping W unflipped}), \quad (B.5) \\ \beta_{0} &= \frac{1}{A^{3}B^{3}}(a_{2}A^{2}B^{2} + a_{3}AB(2AB' + 3A'B) + a_{4}(ABV + AB(8A'B' - 6A''B) + 6A^{2}B'^{2} - 3A^{2}BB'' + 12A'^{2}B^{2}))), \quad (B.6) \\ \beta_{1} &= \frac{1}{A^{2}B^{2}}(a_{3}AB + (3AB' + 4A'B)a_{4}), \quad (B.7) \\ \beta_{2} &= \frac{a_{4}}{AB}. \quad (B.8) \end{aligned}$$